



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18526 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17/11/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.18526 of 2025

1.Nallathambi
2.Shanthi

... Petitioners

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu represented by its,
Sub- Inspector of Police,
AWPS Thallakulam Police Station,
Madurai City.
(Crime No.17 Of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Palanivelayutham

For Respondent : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.M.Jegadeesh Pandian

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.17 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18526 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 296(b) of BNS Act and under Sections 9, 10 of CM Act and under Sections 5(1), 6 of POCSO Act, in Crime No.17 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on the date of 19.05.2024, the defacto complainant's parents and the accused person / accused person's parents arranged the marriage without her knowledge between the defacto complainant and the accused person. In the mean time, the defacto complainant called the help line service call of 100. Thereafter, the police came to the place and stopped the marriage. On 19.05.2024 at 06.30 PM., the accused person /accused person's parents suddenly moved to Usilampatti Taluk, Arittapatti Taluk, in Kanniyampatti Village, in front of Kamatchi Amman Kovil. Both the parents forced to marry the accused namely Gowtham. After one year, they asked dowry of 100 sovereigns of golds and Rs.10 Lakh/- cash to the defacto complainant. Hence, the apprehension of arrest. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18526 of 2025

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioners are serious in nature. Further, the Accused Nos.1 to 3 were taking steps to conduct marriage and there was an intimation to the Police through a service call of 100. The Police intervened and stopped the marriage but subsequently, the marriage has taken place.

5.Therefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that *prima facie* there is a case against the Accused Nos.1 to 3.

6.Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the offence and the specific overt act against the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners at this stage.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18526 of 2025

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

WEB COPY

17/11/2025

TMG

(1/4)

TO

1.Sub- Inspector of Police,
AWPS Thallakulam Police Station,
Madurai City.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18526 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY, J.

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18526 of 2025

Date : 17/11/2025
(1/4)