



W.P.(MD)No.32672 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 14.11.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **DR.JUSTICE ANITA SUMANTH**
AND
THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

W.P.(MD)No.32672 of 2025

B.Balakrishana Prabu

... Petitioner

/Vs./

1. The Chairman Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited,
Bharat Bhavan 4 & 6 Currimboy Road Ballard Estate,
Mumbai 400001, Maharastra.
2. The Joint Chief Controller of Explosives,
A and D Wing Block 1-8 II Floor,
Shastri Bhawan 26 Haddous Road,
Nungambakkam, Chennai 600006.
3. The Southern Regional Manager (Retail),
Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited,
1, Ranganathan Garden,
Off 11th Main Road Anna Nagar,
Chennai 600040.
4. The Territory Manager,
Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited,
B.G.Goods Shed Road Tatchanallur,
Tirunelveli 627358.

1/4



W.P.(MD)No.32672 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. M/s.Yogiram Fuels,
Represented Mrs.Shanthi,
Bharat Petroleum Retail Outlet V.A.Nagar,
Pavoor Chatram,
Tenkasi Taluk District 627808.

... Respondents

PRAYER:- Writ Petition - filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1 to 4 respondents to take necessary steps against the 5th respondent based upon the petitioner's representation dated 25.09.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Moorthy

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **DR.ANITA SUMANTH, J.**)

This petition is for a mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 4 to take necessary steps as against R5, based upon the petitioner's representation dated 25.09.2025.

2. In the light of the order proposed to be passed in this writ petition, no notice is necessary to the respondents, at this stage.

3. The petitioner has set out various grievances as against setting up of Petrol Bunk by R5 in the present location. Since the same



W.P.(MD)No.32672 of 2025

grievances form part of the petitioner's representation, which has been filed only in the last week of September 2025, we are not inclined to entertain this writ petition, as we believe the same as premature.

4. The petitioner is at liberty to pursue the same before the authorities, who will, as and when the representation is taken up for consideration, ensure that both the petitioner and R5 are heard, prior to passing of the orders. This writ petition is dismissed as premature. No costs.

[A.S.M.J.] & [C.K.J.]
14.11.2025

NCC :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
sm



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.32672 of 2025

DR.ANITA SUMANTH, J.
AND
C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

sm

Order made in
W.P.(MD)No.32672 of 2025

Dated:
14.11.2025