



CRL OP(MD). No.18268 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

WEB COPY

Date : 23.10.2025

PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.18268 of 2025

- 1.Murugasamy
- 2.Pushpadevi
- 3.S.Rajamani
- 4.R.Saraswathi
- 5.Shanmugam
- 6.Gokilamani
- 7.P.Suresh
- 8.S.Latha

... Petitioners / A1 to A8

Vs

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu Rep., by,
The Sub Inspector of Police,
K.Paramathi Police Station,
Karur District.
(Crime No.93 of 2025)

...1st Respondent/Defacto
Complainant

- 2.Parthasarathi

... 2nd Respondent/
Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.V.R.Shanmuganathan
For Respondents : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R1

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS



CRL OP(MD). No.18268 of 2025

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.93 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER: The Court made the following order:-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 61(2), 336(2), 340(2), 336(3), 318(4) and 316(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in Crime No.93 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners having entered into an agreement for sale of the subject property, had received sale consideration/advance but subsequently failed to execute the sale deed, despite repeated demands, thereby cheating the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. According to the petitioners A1, has received Rs.10,000/- from one anonymous account for which he has preferred complaint before the Bank and the said amount was deleted



CRL OP(MD). No.18268 of 2025

from the account of A1. Further, he submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that the allegation against the petitioners is that there was an oral agreement between the defacto complainant and the petitioners to sell the subject property, but the petitioners cheated the defacto complainant and the investigation is going on. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and it is a money transaction between the defacto complainant and A1, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten



CRL OP(MD). No.18268 of 2025

Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Aravakurichi, Karur District, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b] the first petitioner/A1 alone shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter as and when required; and the other petitioners/A2 to A8 shall report before the respondent police as and when required;

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



CRL OP(MD). No.18268 of 2025

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

23.10.2025

PJL

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Aravakurichi, Karur District.

2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
K.Paramathi Police Station,
Karur District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.18268 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY, J.

PJL

CRL OP(MD) No.18268 of 2025

23.10.2025