



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18214 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21.11.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.18214 of 2025

1.K.N.Kulandhai Vasuki @ Kuzhandhai Vasuki

2.Sudha @ Sudha D

... Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by the Inspector of Police,
CBCID, Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.
(Crime No.2 of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Shunmalar

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.2 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18214 of 2025

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 120(B), 420, 465, 468, 471 of IPC, 1860 and Sections 3 and 5 of Emblems & Name (Prevention of Improper Use) Act, 1950, in Crime No.2 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the 1st Petitioner is the cousin of defacto complainant and she has introduced Subash Swaminathan (A2) to the defacto complainant. Further, the accused persons, A2 to A5 induced the defacto complainant to invest in the Savarimuthu Aruldoss Memorial Trust by promising that he could earn Rs.1 Crore/- for the investment of Rs.1 Lakh, claiming that the Trust was expecting large foreign funds. Further the defacto complainant initially paid Rs.1,00,000/- as cash to A1 on March 12, 2013. Later, on March 20, 2013, he gave an additional amount of Rs.10 Lakhs/- to A1, which was raised by pledging family jewelry and selling his dye factory. Further A1 provided documents bearing the names of the Trust and the State Bank of India using a fake document with the SBI emblem. The defacto complainant was cheated to the tune of Rs.11 Lakhs/-. His father and his sister were also cheated to the tune of Rs.5 Lakhs/- each, totalling to Rs.21 Lakhs/-.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18214 of 2025

Subsequently, A1 being the Managing Director, made partial repayments of Rs. 2,50,000/- to the defacto complainant and obtained further sums of Rs.25,000/- in 2021 and Rs.5,000/- on 27.08.2025. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioners.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioners are serious in nature. Further, Accused Nos.1 and 2 were arrested and granted bail. The respondent has also filed a detailed counter.

5.The petitioners are only the agents who collecting and depositing to the institution for which they were working.

6.Considering the fact that the petitioners are only the agents for the main trustees, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18214 of 2025

certain conditions.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Pudukkottai, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order made ready and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18214 of 2025

as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

21.11.2025

TMG

TO

1. Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Pudukkottai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
CBCID, Pudukkottai
Pudukkottai District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18214 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18214 of 2025

Date : 21.11.2025