



CRL.O.P.(MD)No.18204 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Dated : 22.10.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE **S.SRIMATHY**

CRL.O.P.(MD)No.18204 of 2025

Iyyappan

... Petitioner

vs.

The State of Tamilnadu represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Veeravanallur Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Cr.No.495 of 2025)

... Respondent

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.495 of 2025 on the file of
the respondent police

For Petitioner	:Mr.Sathyachidambaram
For Respondent	:Mr.S.S.Manoj
	Government Advocate (Crl.side)



CRL.O.P.(MD)No.18204 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 109(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, Section 21(1)(a) of Indian Arms Act and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.495 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 11.10.2025, the accused persons and the son of the de-facto complainant have consumed liquor and at that time, the second accused money was misplaced. When the same was questioned by the first accused, there was a wordy quarrel between the de-facto complainant's son and the accused persons and when the de-facto complainant has interfered with the same, the accused persons have also abused the de-facto complainant in filthy language and also threatened her with deadly weapons. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is no way connected with the



CRL.O.P.(MD)No.18204 of 2025

alleged occurrence as stated by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that the accused persons have abused and threatened the de-facto complainant and her sons. He further submitted that there are three previous cases pending against the petitioner. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi, Tirunelveli District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees



CRL.O.P.(MD)No.18204 of 2025

Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi, Tirunelveli District, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi, Tirunelveli District. In the event of any change in his residential address, the petitioner shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi, Tirunelveli District;

(c)the petitioner shall stay at Madurai and report before the Inspector of Police, Tallakulam Police Station, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during



WEB COPY



CRL.O.P.(MD)No.18204 of 2025

investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(g) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

22.10.2025

cmr

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Cheranmahadevi, Tirunelveli District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Veeravanallur Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL.O.P.(MD)No.18204 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY, J.

cmr

CRL.O.P.(MD)No.18204 of 2025

22.10.2025