



CRL OP(MD). No.17847 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 15.10.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.17847 of 2025

1.Jayaraman
2.Natarajan

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep By The Inspector of Police,
Usilampatti Town Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.392 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.K.Surendran
For Mr.S.Balaji,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.S.Manikandan

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.392 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.17847 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 329(3), 296(b), 303(2), 351(2) of BNS, in Crime No.392 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and one Ragunathan were elected as a President and Secretary in the Sangam namely Naatathi Nadar Uiravinmurai, Usilampatti. Further, on 01.10.2025. the petitioners along with other accused persons had entered into the said Sangam office and taken the keys of Alimarah and some documents and threatened the defacto complainant and others. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they are no way connected with the alleged occurrence as stated by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seek anticipatory bail to the



CRL OP(MD). No.17847 of 2025

petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners and no one was sustained injuries. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned counsel for the Intervenor/defacto complainant submitted that the petitioners along with other accused persons had taken the keys of the some documents from the defacto complainant's office and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that no one was sustained injuries and also considering the age of the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions.



CRL OP(MD). No.17847 of 2025

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.1, Usilampatti, on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.1, Usilampatti, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m., until further orders.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



CRL OP(MD). No.17847 of 2025

WEB COPY

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(f) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

(S S Y J)
15.10.2025

msrm

To

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.1,
Usilampatti.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Usilampatti Town Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.17847 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY, J.

msrm

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17847 of 2025**

Date : 15.10.2025