



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 15.10.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.17781 of 2025

Sakthivel

... Petitioner/ Accused No.3

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Town South Police Station,
Dindigul.
(Crime No. 427 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Bharath

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.427 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.09.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 221, 351(3) of BNS and Section 25(1)(a) of Arms Act, in Crime No. 427 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 12.09.2025, while the police was on regular patrol duty, the petitioner and other accused persons were standing with long sword with an intention to commit offence and on seeing the police, they attempted to escape from the place and abused them in filthy language. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that A2 in this case had already been released on bail by the Sessions Court. He also submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 12.09.2025. Hence, he seeks bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has no previous cases. However, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and the petitioner has no previous cases and also considering the period of incarceration suffered by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Dindigul, and on further conditions that :-

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY



[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

15.10.2025

PJL



To

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Dindigul.
2. The Superintendent,
District Jail, Dindigul.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Town South Police Station,
Dindigul.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



S.SRIMATHY,J.

PJL

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17781 of 2025

Date : 15.10.2025