



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18420 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 15.12.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.18420 of 2025

1. V.Naveen

2. V.Ajith Kumar

3. Pajesh Silvandu @ A.Rajesh

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu Rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,
Keelavalavu Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.177 of 2025)

2. Pandiselvi

3. Vellaiyadevan

... Respondents

(R2 and R3 are impleaded as per order of this Court
dated 24.11.2025 in Crl.MP.(MD)No.18006/2025 in
CRL OP(MD)No.18420 of 2025)

For Petitioners : Mr.S.M.Aswin

For Respondents: Mr.S.S.Manoj

Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R1

: Mr.Sabapathy for R3

: Mr.Sethupathy for R2

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.177 of 2025 on the file of the
respondent police.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18420 of 2025

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 126(2), 296(b), 115(2) and 109 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.177 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 26.08.2025, the de-facto complainant, who had earlier been employed abroad and thereafter returned to his native village and was working as a wage labourer, was involved in the alleged occurrence. It is admitted in the First Information Report by the mother of the de-facto complainant that the de-facto complainant had committed a bomb blast incident four months prior against the 1st accused as a result of which the said 1st accused sustained amputation of his fingers. There existed previous enmity between the de-facto complainant and the said 1st accused, each harbouring intentions of revenge. Hence, the apprehension of arrest. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioners.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18420 of 2025

petitioners.

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioners are serious in nature. Further, the 1st petitioner has six previous cases against him and he is history sheet No.432 of 2025. The 2nd petitioner has seven previous cases against him and he is history sheet No.433 of 2025.

5.This is the second petition for anticipatory bail. The defacto complainant Pandiselvi appeared before this Court. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that there is a rival enmity between the two groups. The parties have entered into compromise that they are not further inclined to process the criminal case. Therefore, there is a change in the circumstances. Hence, the second anticipatory bail petition is entertained.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners shall



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18420 of 2025

execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Learned Judicial Magistrate, JM Court, Melur, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners shall stay at Villupuram and report before the Inspector of Police, Villupuram Town Police Station, daily at 10.30 a.m. and 05.30 PM, for a period of one month and thereafter, report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18420 of 2025

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under

Section 269 of BNS.

15.12.2025

TMG

TO

1. Judicial Magistrate,
JM Court, Melur.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Keelavalavu Police Station,
Madurai District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18420 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18420 of 2025

Date : 15.12.2025