



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.17796 and 17802 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 22.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.17796 and 17802 of 2025

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.17796 of 2025

Suriya

... Petitioner/ SoleAccused

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Chettinad Police Station,
Sivagangai District.

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Pandiyan

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records pertaining to quash the impugned Charge Sheet in S.T.C No.823 of 2025 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi.

For Petitioners : Mr.J.Malai Raja

For R-1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R-2 : Mr.Jaaswanth



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.17796 and 17802 of 2025

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.17802 of 2025

1.Pandiyan

2.Manikandan

3.Manikanda Prabhu

4.Alagarsamy

5.Ramaraj

6.Vadivelu

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 6

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Chettinad Police Station,
Sivagangai District.

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Venkatesh

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records pertaining to quash the impugned Charge Sheet in S.T.C No.1244 of 2025 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi.

For Petitioners : Mr.J.Jaaswant

For R-1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R-2 : Mr.J.Malai Raja



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.17796 and 17802 of 2025

COMMON ORDER

WEB COPY

The Criminal Original Petitions in Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.17796 of 2025 is filed to quash the final report filed in S.T.C.No.823 of 2025 for the offences under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023.

1.1. The Criminal Original Petition in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.17802 of 2025 is filed to quash the final report in S.T.C.No.1244 of 2025 for the offences under Sections 296(b), 126(2), 115(2), 324(4), 351(2), 191(1) of BNS, 2023 and Section 185 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988.

2. These Criminal Original Petitions are filed to quash the proceedings in S.T.C.Nos.823 and 1244 of 2025 arising out of two FIRs filed by rival parties that the petitioners in Crl.O.P(MD) No.17802 of 2025 stopped the car of the petitioner in Crl.O.P(MD) No.17796 of 2025 and abused him in filthy language and thus, wordy altercation took place between the petitioners in both the cases and the case and case in counter have been registered.

3. The parties have now arrived at a compromise and had filed a joint compromise memo separately in both cases. The scanned copy of the compromise memo in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.17796 of 2025 reads as follows:



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.17796 and 17802 of 2025

In The High Court of Judicature of Madras at Madurai Bench
(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)
Crl.O.P (MD) No. 2025

Agt

STC No.823 of 2025

(On the file of Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi)

Suriya,
S/o. Chellathurai,
2/30, kanattangudi,
Ramanathapuram

...Petitioner/sole Accused

Vs

1. The Inspector of Police,
Chettinad Police Station,
Sivagangai District.

...1st Respondent/Complainant

2. Pandiyan, (M- 39/2025)
S/o. Shanmugam,
No.10, TKR Street,
Kanadukathan,
Sivagangai District.

...2nd Respondent/ De facto Complainant

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO

I, Pandiyan, S/o. Shanmugam, Hindu, aged about 39 years, residing at No. 10, TKR Street, Kanadukathan, Sivagangai District and do here by solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows.

1. The petitioner submit that he is preferring this Criminal original Petition before this Hon'ble Court seeking to quash the STC No.823 of 2025, on the file of Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi offences u/s 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023, on the basis of the Joint Memo of Compromise entered between the petitioner and the De-facto Complainant.



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.17796 and 17802 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. As per the terms of compromising between the parties the 2nd respondent is willing to quit the criminal case toto. The 2nd respondent has forgotten the incident and ready to put full stop above criminal case so far as, toto.
3. The petitioner as well the 2nd respondent has agreed to settle the dispute by entering into this joint Memo of Compromise voluntarily without any coercion or compulsion as against this petitioner is concern.

For the reasons stated above, it is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to regarding the STC No.823 of 2025; on the file of Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi and thus render justice.

Dated at Madurai on this 13th day of ~~September~~^{October}, 2025.


Petitioner


Counsel for Petitioner


2nd Respondent


Counsel for 2nd Respondent


1902/2025
Jabin I
TM Nagar Madurai
Arav Law College Madurai
9659705020

4. The scanned copy of the joint compromise memo filed in Crl.O.P. (MD).No.17802 of 2025 reads as follows:



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.17796 and 17802 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT MADURAI
(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)

Crl.O.P (MD) No. 17802 2025

Agt

STC No.1244 of 2025

(On the file of Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi)

1. Pandiyan, (M- 39/2025)
S/o. Shanmugam,
No.10, TKR Street,
Kanadukathan,
Sivagangai District.
2. Manikandan, (M-29/2025)
S/o. Murugesan,
4/10, Arasamarathu Street,
South Street, Nematnanpatti,
Karaikudi Taluk,
Sivagangai.
3. Manikanda Prabhu, (M- 29/2025)
S/o. Periyakaruppan,
22, Pillaiyar Back Street,
Palaiyur, Kanadukathan,
Karaikudi Taluk,
Sivagangai District.
4. Alagarsamy, (M- 37/2025)
S/o. Velusamy,
63B, Vasantham Nagar,
Kanadukathan,
Sivagangai District.

Handwritten signatures and initials:
A. R. S.
V. S.
R. S.
R. S.

Handwritten signature: C. R. S.



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.17796 and 17802 of 2025

WEB COPY

5th and 6th petitioner, the offences 294(h), 126 (2), 115(2), 324(4), 351(2), 191(1) of BNS, and 185 of MV Act, 1988. On the basis of the joint memo of compromise entered between the petitioner and the de-facto complainant.


2. As per the terms of compromising between the parties the 2nd respondent is willing to quit the criminal case toto. The 2nd respondent has forgotten the incident and ready to put full stop above criminal case so far as, toto.

3. The petitioner as well the 2nd respondent has agreed to settle the dispute by entering into this joint Memo of Compromise voluntarily without any coercion or compulsion as against this petitioner is concern.


For the reasons stated above, it is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to the Impugned charge sheet in STC No. 1244 of 2025, on the file of Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi and quash the same and thus render justice.

Dated at Madurai on this 3rd day of October, 2025.

Petitioners


Counsel for Petitioners


2nd Respondent


Counsel for 2nd Respondent



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.17796 and 17802 of 2025

5. The petitioners and the defacto complainants are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Mr.M.Kannan, Special Sub-Inspector of Police, Chettinad Police Station, Sivagangai District. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

6. In view of the same and considering the nature of the allegations and the compromise arrived at between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the impugned final reports pending trial. Accordingly, the impugned final reports in S.T.C.Nos.823 and 1244 of 2025 are quashed and the Criminal Original Petitions are allowed.

22.10.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No

Indu



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.17796 and 17802 of 2025

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate,
Karaikudi.
 - 2.The Inspector of Police,
Chettinad Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
 - 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.17796 and 17802 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Indu

Crl.O.P(MD).Nos.17796 and 17802 of 2025

22.10.2025