



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19620 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 10.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.19620 of 2025

1.Thangapandiyan

2.Jayapandiyan @ Jayapandi

3.Arumugam

... Petitioners/Accused 1 to 3

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu, Rep,
by the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Manapparai Circle, Manapparai,
Trichy District.

2.State of Tamilnadu Rep by In,
By the Inspector of Police,
Valanadu, Police Station,
Valanadu, Trichy District.
(Crime No.30 of 2025).

... Respondents 1 and 2/Complainants

3.Rajkumar

...3rd Respondent/Defacto
Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code/Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records pertaining to the First Information Report in Crime No.30 of 2025 on the file of the 1st respondent Police and quash the same as illegal as against the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19620 of 2025

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Vadivelan
For R-1 and R-2 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For R-3 : Mr.K.Anandraj

ORDER

The petitioners seek to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.30 of 2025 on the file of the second respondent Police, registered for the offences punishable under Section 296(b), 115(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023, Section 4 of TNPHW Act and Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) and 3(2)(va) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

2. The allegation in the impugned FIR is that with regard to the money transaction, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant and his wife in filthy language, attacked them and threatened them of dire consequences and thus, committed the aforesaid offences.

3. Admittedly, the petitioners and the second respondent were known to each other and they have now entered into a compromise and decided to give a quietus to the entire dispute. The parties have filed a joint compromise memo dated 06.07.2025.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19620 of 2025

4. The petitioners and the third respondent, namely, Rajkumar (Aadhaar No.2540 0611 8086) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by the Police officer attached to the second respondent Police. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them. Since the parties have arrived at a compromise, the third respondent had returned a sum of Rs.90,000/- to the Adidraavidar Welfare Department.

5. In view of the same and considering the nature of the offences, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the impugned FIR pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIR in Crime No.30 of 2025, dated 07.03.2025 on the file of the second respondent Police Station, is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. The joint compromise memo, dated 06.11.2025 shall form part of this order. The petitioners shall pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as costs to the High Court Legal Services Authority attached to this Bench forthwith and file a photocopy of the receipt along with a memo reporting compliance in the Registry.

10.11.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
Indu

3/5



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19620 of 2025

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Manapparai Circle, Manapparai,
Trichy District.
 - 2.The Inspector of Police,
Valanadu, Police Station,
Valanadu, Trichy District.
 - 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19620 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Indu

Crl.O.P(MD).No.19620 of 2025

10.11.2025