



CRL OP(MD). No.18009 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 31.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL.O.P(MD) No.18009 of 2025

1.Karuppasamy @ White

2.Ravi

3.Vinothkumar @ Vinoth

... Petitioners

Vs

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,

Rep. by the Inspector of Police,

Soolakkarai Police Station,

Virudhunagar District,

(Crime No.137 of 2021).

2. Padmavathy

... Respondents



CRL OP(MD), No.18009 of 2025

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records relating to the impugned Charge Sheet in C.C.No.717 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.V.Sasi Kumar

For R1 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi

Additional Public Prosecutor

For R2 : Mr.S.Sankar

ORDER

The petitioners seek to quash the impugned Charge Sheet in C.C.No.717 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar, which was filed for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 325 and 506(ii) of IPC r/w 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act.



CRL OP(MD), No.18009 of 2025

2.The gist of the allegation is that on account of previous enmity, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant with rods and sticks and thus, committed the aforesaid offences.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the defacto complainant/the second respondent would submit that the parties have now entered into the compromise on the advise of the elders and well-wishers. He would further submit that the defacto complainant and two other victims have also signed a compromise memo. The defacto complainant and one of the victim Ganesan is present in Court and the other victim is now taking treatment in the hospital. Since the defacto complainant is the mother of the other victim, she has confirmed the compromise and both the parties have agreed that the impugned final report may be quashed.

4.The parties have also filed a joint compromise memo. The scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.18009 of 2025

2. Padmavathy,
W/o.Mohan,
No.1/132, South Street,
Soolakkarai Village,
Virudhunagar District.

2nd Respondent/
De-facto complainant

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO FILED BY THE PETITIONERS
AND THE 2ND RESPONDENT

1. It is submitted that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, a case in Crime No.137 of 2021 was registered on the file of the 1st respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002.
2. In the complaint, the 2nd respondent / de-facto complainant has alleged that she was working as an Office Assistant in the office of Chief Educational Officer, Virudhunagar. On 8.8.2021 at about 9.45 p.m., the 2nd respondent's brother-in-laws, the petitioners 1, 2 herein and the 3rd accused namely Sundaramoorthy came to the 2nd respondent's house and abused filthy language and questioned for not signing for selling the property, the 1st petitioner attacked with his hand, the petitioners 1 & 3 abused the de-facto complainant's daughter Devika

2nd Respondent

Petitioners

1.

2.

3.




CRL OP(MD), No.18009 of 2025

WEB COPY

and also pushed the de-facto complainant's daughter down and that was questioned by the de-facto complainant's son and he was attacked by the accused 2, 3 & 5 by iron rod. Thereafter, all the accused persons attacked with iron rod, stone and their hands. Thereafter, they threatened the de-facto complainant and her family members.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners did not commit the alleged offences and a false case has been foisted against them with ulterior motives. The petitioners state that though there was a wordy quarrel arose between themselves, subsequently, in the interest of both families, they amicably settled the issues as per the advice of elders. Moreover, the petitioners and the 2nd respondent are close relatives and further proceedings would be a futile exercise.
4. It is submitted that the 1st respondent filed final report on 24.8.2021 and the same was taken on file by the Learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Viradhunagar as C.C.No.717 of 2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) & 325 of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act 2002.

2nd Respondent



Petitioners

1. V. Muppa Ling
2. V. V. V. V.
3. R. V. V. V.



CRL OP(MD), No.18009 of 2025


WEB COPY

5. It is submitted that the offences are in compoundable in nature and in order to finalize the dispute, the petitioners and the 2nd respondent already amicably settled. The petitioners state the 3rd accused namely Vishva filed a Quash Petition before this Hon'ble Court based on settlement in CrI.O.P.(MD) No.5802 of 2022 and the same was allowed on 4.4.2022. Hence, it is just and necessary that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.717 of 2022 on the file of Learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar.


It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to accept this joint Compromise Memo and may pass orders to quash the C.C.No.717 of 2022 on the file of Learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar and pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the nature and circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

Dated at Madurai on this the ^{October} 13th day of September, 2025.

2nd Respondent





Counsel for 2nd Respondent



S.SANKAR (1164/2011)
No.31 Law Chambers,
High Court Buildings,
Madurai - 625 023.

Permanent Address:
11-10, 19, 3rd Street, Design Nagar,
S.Alangulam, Madurai - 625 017,
Cell No.9245142372

Petitioners

1. 
2. 
3. 

Counsel for Petitioners



V.SASIKUMAR (1212/1998), Advocate,
No.8, Law Chambers, High Court Buildings,
Madurai - 625 023.

Permanent Address:
Plot No.196, Kasim Residency, Y.Othakadai,
Madurai - 625 107,
Cell No.94437 95959



CRL OP(MD). No.18009 of 2025

WEB COPY

5.The petitioners, namely, Karuppasamy @ White (Aadhaar No. 5842 4489 9269), Ravi (Aadhaar No.9954 0990 1468), Vinothkumar @ Vinoth (Aadhaar No.8183 3106 0553), and the second respondent, namely, Padmavathy(Aadhaar No.9710 1683 6711) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Mr.A.Ramanathan, Special Sub Inspector of Police, Soolakkarai Police Station, Virudhunagar District. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

6.Considering the nature of the offences and the fact that the parties have arrived at a compromise, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned charge sheet pending trial. Accordingly, the impugned charge sheet in C.C.No.717 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar District, is quashed. The petitioners shall pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- as costs to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre attached to the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court forthwith and file a photocopy of the receipt along with a memo reporting compliance in the Registry.



CRL OP(MD). No.18009 of 2025

WEB COPY 7.Hence, this Criminal Original Petition stand allowed.

31.10.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
vsg

To

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Virudhunagar.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Soolakkarai Police Station,
Virudhunagar District,
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.18009 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN,J

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18009 of 2025

Date : 31.10.2025