



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.17701 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 17.10.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.17701 of 2025

1.A.Senthil

2.N.Annamalai

3.A.Subbulakshmi

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
AWPS - Town Police Station,
Tirunelveli City.
(Crime No.17 of 2024)

2.J.Jeyabala

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharathiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to call for records relating to the impugned charge sheet in C.C.No.562 of 2025, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Tirunelveli in connection with Crime No.17 of 2024 dated 20.09.2024 and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioners : Mr.I.Pinaygash

For R1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.17701 of 2025

For R2

: Mr.N.Arjunkumar

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the final report in C.C.No.562 of 2025, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Tirunelveli, filed against the petitioners for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 406, 294(b) & 506(1) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

2. The allegation in the final report is that the first petitioner is the husband of the second respondent/defacto complainant, and the second and third petitioners are the parents of the first petitioner; that there were matrimonial differences between the first petitioner and the second respondent/defacto complainant; that the first petitioner had harassed the second respondent/defacto complainant both mentally and physically; that the petitioners jointly harassed the second respondent/defacto complainant and abused her and her family members, and demanded additional dowry; and that even after the petitioner left the matrimonial home, the articles given by the parents of the second respondent/defacto complainant as *sridhanam* at the time of marriage have not been returned by the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.17701 of 2025

3. Admittedly, the first petitioner and the second respondent/defacto complainant are the husband and wife, and the second and third petitioners are the parents of the first petitioner. There was a matrimonial dispute between the first petitioner and the second respondent/defacto complainant. The parties have now entered into a compromise and decided to give a quietus to the entire dispute. The parties have filed a joint compromise memo dated 2nd September, 2025. The scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE MADRAS AT
MADURAI BENCH**
(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)

Crl.O.P.(MD)No. of 2025
-In-
C.C.No. 562 of 2025
(On the file of the Learned Judicial Magistrate-IV, Tirunelveli)

1. A.Senthil (M/37),
S/o. Annamalai,
First floor, Roseland Avenue Apartment,
Ram Nagar, Thatchanallur,
Tirunelveli District.

2. N.Annamalai (M/64)
S/o. Nainar,
First floor, Roseland Avenue Apartment,
Ram Nagar, Thatchanallur,
Tirunelveli District.

3. A. Subbulakshmi, (M/61)
W/o. Annamalai,
First floor, Roseland Avenue Apartment,
Ram Nagar, Thatchanallur,
Tirunelveli District

.... Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 3
- Vs -

1. The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
AWPS- Town Police Station,
Tirunelveli City.
(Crime No. 17 of 2024) ... Respondent/Complainant

2. Mrs.J.Jeyabala,
D/o. John,
No.1/30, Gayathiri Amman Kovil Street,
Thiruvannathapuram pottal,
Keelanatham, Palayamkottai Taluk,
Tirunelveli District. ... Respondent/De-facto Complainant

**Respondent No.2/
De-facto Complainant**
J. Jeyabala

Petitioners/ Accused Nos. 1 to 3
1. *A. Senthil*
2. *N. Annamalai*
3. *A. Subbulakshmi*



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.17701 of 2025

WEB COPY

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO

It is submitted that both the parties hereby enter into a compromise and agree on the following terms.

1. It is respectfully submitted that the Petitioners has filed the above Criminal Original Petition praying this Hon'ble Court to call for the records pertaining to the Impugned Charge Sheet in C.C.No.562 of 2025 on the file of the Learned Judicial Magistrate-IV, Tirunelveli in Crime No. 17 of 2024 dated 20.09.2024 **U/ss. 498(A), 406, 294(B), & 506(i) of IPC** on the file of the Respondent No.1 and Quash the same as illegal.
2. That the case of the prosecution is relating to matrimonial dispute. The 1st petitioner is the husband, 2nd and 3rd petitioners are parents of the 1st petitioner. That on 09.07.2023 the marriage was took place between the 1st petitioner and de-facto complainant. From the day one of the Marriage the difference of opinion was developed made between them. Because of the same the de-facto complainant lodged a complaint against the petitioners. Pursuant to the same the case has been registered in Crime No. 17 of 2024 dated 20.09.2024 U/ss. 498(A), 406, 294(B), & 506(i) of IPC on the file of the Respondent No.1. Subsequently the case was taken on file as C.C.No.562 of 2025 on the file of the Learned Judicial Magistrate-IV, Tirunelveli.

Respondent No.2/

De-facto Complainant

J. Gopal

Petitioners/ Accused Nos. 1 to 3

1. A. Gopal
2. N. Anamaly
3. A. Subbalakshmi



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.17701 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. The Petitioners did not commit any offence as alleged by the De-facto Complainant in the instant case. Be that as it may the issue was settled amicably and referred the matter to the Tirunelveli District Mediation and Conciliation Center for explore the possibility of settlement. Pursuant to the same the 1st petitioner and the De-facto complainant agreed to mutual separation and dissolve their marriage. Based on which they filed the case in H.M.O.P.No. 5 of 2025 on the file of the Family Court Tirunelveli District and the same was became finality as mutual divorce on 16.05.2025. In the Meanwhile the 1st petitioner has paid Rs.4,50,000/- as permanent alimony. Based on the amicable settlement they were mutually separated.
4. It is submitted that now there is no dispute between them and they live in a peaceful manner they separated mutually after made the amicable settlement. The Family Court, Tirunelveli Granted Divorce on Mutual Ground. Therefore the commission of offences U/ss. 498(A), 406, 294(B), & 506(i) of IPC would not attract and not at all attributed against the Petitioners. Therefore the Impugned charge sheet is liable to be set aside at threshold stage.
5. That this Hon'ble Apex Court and this Hon'ble Court recorded the compromise and quashed the similar case in nature pursuant to amicable settlement and solved the dispute in unequivocal terms. In the instant case is also squarely applicable to the above said cases.

Respondent No.2/

De-facto Complainant

J. Jabel

Petitioners/ Accused Nos. 1 to 3

- 1.
2. N. Sumanth
3. A. Subudhan



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.17701 of 2025

(b)

6. Though the Petitioners and the Defacto Complainant/ Respondent have reached compromise and settled amicably, the case is pending before the Learned Judicial Magistrate Court No. IV, Tirunelveli. Since having regard to the terms of the Compromise that it is humble respect that no useful purpose will be served by keeping this matter pending. Hence, it is just and necessary to quash the Impugned Charge Sheet or otherwise they will be put into immense hardships and irreparable loss.

7. Therefore this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to quash the same on the ground that both of them expressed in unequivocal terms that they signed in the Joint Compromise Memo on their own will and volition. They had settled the dispute amicably through the Tirunelveli District Mediation and Conciliation Center. Hence the Charge sheet may be quashed on the ground that the matter has been settled.

Therefore it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to record this Joint Compromise Memo as part and parcel of the Quash Petition and call for the records pertaining to the Impugned Charge Sheet in C.C.No.562 of 2025 on the file of the Learned Judicial Magistrate-IV, Tirunelveli in Crime No. 17 of 2024 dated 20.09.2024 U/ss. 498(A), 406, 294(B), & 506(i) of IPC on the file of the Respondent No.1 and Quash the same as illegal and pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render Justice.

Dated at Madurai on this 22nd day of September 2025

**Respondent No.2/
De-facto Complainant**

Counsel for the Respondent No.2

Petitioners/ Accused 1 to 3

1.

2.

3.

Counsel for the Petitioners



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.17701 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The petitioners, namely, (1) A.Senthil [Aadhaar No.2057 6846 0408], (2) N.Annamalai [Aadhaar No.5912 5258 0282] and (3) A.Subbulakshmi [Aadhaar No.9181 0421 2147], and the second respondent/defacto complainant, namely, J.Jeyabala [Aadhaar No.3652 5582 8046] are present before this Court in person. The parties are identified by M/s.S.Mary Anisha, Women Head Constable, Tirunelveli Town All Women Police Station, Tirunelveli City. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

5. In view of the same and considering the nature of the offences and the relationship between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned final report pending trial. Accordingly, the impugned final report in C.C.No.562 of 2025, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Tirunelveli, is quashed. The petitioners shall pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- as costs to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre attached to the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court forthwith and file a photocopy of the receipt along with a memo reporting compliance in the Registry.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.17701 of 2025

WEB COPY 6. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

17.10.2025

JEN

Index: Yes/ No

Neutral Citation: Yes / No

Speaking Order / Non-Speaking Order

Copy To:

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.IV,
Tirunelveli.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
AWPS - Town Police Station,
Tirunelveli City.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.17701 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

JEN

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.17701 of 2025

17.10.2025