



Crl.OP.(MD)No.18172 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18.11.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.18172 of 2025

S.Rani,
W/o.P.S.Sundar

... Petitioner/de-facto
complainant

Vs.

1.Arputhamerlin,
W/o.AnthonyPrabhu

2.AnthonyPrabhu,
S/o.Thangadurai

... 1st & 2nd Respondents/
Accused

3.State through the Inspector of Police,
Teppakkulam Police Station,
Madurai City.
(Crime No.573 of 2025)

... 3rd Respondent/
Complainant

For Petitioner : Ms.Vinothini K,
Advocate

For R1 & R2 : Mr.R.Murugesan,
Advocate

For R3 : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl.side)



CrI.OP.(MD)No.18172 of 2025

PETITION FOR CANCELLATION OF ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 483(2) of BNSS.

WEB COPY

PRAYER :- To cancel the anticipatory bail order granted by the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Madurai to the 1st and 2nd respondents herein in CrI.M.P.No.4368 of 2025 dated 24.09.2025.

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to the 1st and 2nd respondents/accused in CrI.M.P. No.4368 of 2025 by the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Madurai, on 24.09.2025.

2. Heard the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the 3rd respondent/police.

3. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner/de-facto complainant that the 1st and 2nd respondents/accused have violated the conditions of the anticipatory bail order and have also threatened the de-facto complainant. She further contended that, in any loan or monetary transaction, some deposit should have been directed while granting anticipatory bail, which was not considered in the order.



Crl.OP.(MD)No.18172 of 2025

4. However, there is no evidence to substantiate these allegations. The de-facto complainant has not preferred any police complaint. Therefore, these allegations cannot be entertained. As regards the contention that a deposit should have been directed, the decision to grant anticipatory bail, with or without such a condition, is within the discretion of the concerned Court and the same cannot be questioned. Therefore, the present petition seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail cannot be considered.

5. The prosecution shall proceed with the investigation and file a charge sheet at the earliest.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

18.11.2025

mkn



Crl.OP.(MD)No.18172 of 2025

To

1.The Principal District and Sessions Court,
Madurai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Teppakkulam Police Station,
Madurai City.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.(MD)No.18172 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY, J.

mkkn

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18172 of 2025

Date : 18.11.2025