



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 13.10.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.17440 of 2025

1. Kalaiselvi
2. Palanichamy @ Palani
3. V.Chinnathambi
4. Selvaraj

...Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu
rep. By the Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Town South Police Station,
Dindigul,
(Crime No.425 of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Manikandan

For Respondent : Mr.E. Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.425 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-



WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners/3, 6, 7 & 8, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 427, 406, 420, 506(i) of IPC, in crime No.425 of 2025, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that A-1 borrowed money from the defacto complainant and executed a promissory note. After the death of the A-1, the property was sold to A-3/1st petitioner herein. Hence, a case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocents persons and they did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that there is no specific overtact against them.

4. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent Police submitted that the Court below has taken a complaint filed by the defacto complainant under Section 200 of Cr.P.C.,



(c) the petitioners are directed to appear before the respondent police on every daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter co-operate with the investigation;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(g) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

KSA

13.10.2025
[1/2]



WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court-III, Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Town South Police Station,
Dindigul.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



S.SRIMATHY,J

KSA

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17440 of 2025
[1/2]

Dated 13.10.2025