



CRL OP(MD). No.18276 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 27/10/2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP(MD). No.18276 of 2025

1. Krithik Syamala

2. Jiva @ Ganesan

3. Vijayaanandh

4. Vinitha

... Petitioners

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thiru Nagar Police,
Madurai.
(Crime No.250/2019).

2. Shagunthala,,
W/o.Alagarsamy

... Respondents

PRAYER :- This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 BNSS, to call for the records of the impugned FIR in Crime No. 250 of 2019 on the first respondent police and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Manojkumar
Advocate.

For R1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.Y.Seeni Mohamed



CRL OP(MD), No.18276 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition is filed seeking to quash the FIR in Crime No.250 of 2019 on the file of the first respondent Police, which was registered for the offences under Sections 420, 506(i) and Section 24 of Maintenance and Welfare of Parents & Senior Citizens Act, 2007.

2. The allegation in the FIR is that the first petitioner is the daughter of the defacto complainant; that the petitioners had not treated the defacto complainant well; that the petitioners are attempting to sell the property belonging to the defacto complainant and thus committed the aforesaid offences.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the defacto complainant/the second respondent would submit that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are close relatives and the parties have now entered into a compromise on the advise of the elders and well-wishers and both the parties have agreed that the impugned F.I.R may be quashed.

4.The parties have also filed a joint compromise memo dated 08.10.2025. The scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:



CRL OP(MD). No.18276 of 2025

WEB COPY

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE MADRAS AT
MADURAI BENCH**

(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)

Crl.O.P. (MD) No.of 2025

1. KrithikSyamala (40/25), 18276

D/o. Alagarsamy,
No.56 Middle Street,
Pandian Nagar,
Thiru Nagar.
Madurai

2. Jiva @ Ganesan
S/o. Panchatcharam,
No.94C-Block, sakthi Nagar,
Samaypura,
Thiruchirapalli.

3. Vijayaanandh
S/o. Vijayakumar,
No. 37, New colony,
ThirumaraSamuthuram,
Thiuvankovil,
Srirangam,
Trichy.

4. Vinita
D/o. Vijayakumar,
No. 37, New colony,
ThirumaraSamuthuram,
Thiuvankovil,
Srirangam,
Trichy

... **Petitioner's / Accused No. 1 to 4**
-Vs-

1. State of Tamil Nadu
Representation through the
Inspector of Police,
Thiru Nagar Police .
Madurai
(Crime. No:250/2019) ... **Respondent/ Complainant**

A. K. Kishore P. K. Jha
V. VIJAYANANDH V. Vinita



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.18276 of 2025

2. Shagunthala
W/o. Alagarsamy,
No.56, Mathiya Street,
Thiru Nagar,
Madurai.

... Respondent/ Defacto Complainant
JOINT MEMO FOR COMPROMISE

1. It is submitted that the Petitioner are preferred this memorandum of criminal original petition for quash and praying that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to call for the records of the records Impugned FIR in Crime. No. No.250 of 2019 on the respondent police and quash the same as illegal. Since the Petitioners and the Respondent No.2 were entered into compromise and settled their disputes with amicably before their elders and family, further the Respondent No.2 is not willing to pursue the case against the petitioner.
2. It is submitted that the Respondent No.1 has registered a case in Respondent No.1 has registered a case in Crime No.250 of 2019 U/s. 420, 506(i), and section 24 Maintenance and welfare of parents & senior citizens Act, 2007, dated 17.07.2019 against the petitioners.

Petitioners

1. *A. K. Thirugan*
2. *P. Ananth*
3. *V. Vijay Anand*
4. *V. Vinitha*

Respondent No.2



*L.T.I OF
Shagunthala*

3. It is submitted that the allegation of the Prosecution case is the defacto complainant namely Shagunthala residing at the aforesaid address. Further the defacto complainant had blessed with two daughters and 1st accused is elder daughter of the defacto complainant. It is alleged that 1st petitioner and



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.18276 of 2025

other accused person had cheated the defacto complainant by obtaining the property and Money from her. Thereafter they have not maintained the defacto complainant in well manner. It is stated that the defacto complainant been undergone agony and pressure due to the accused's persons .In this regard the defacto complaint lodged a complaint before the Respondent No.1 and case has been registered in Crime No.250 of 2019 U/s. 420, 506(i), and section 24 Maintenance and welfare of parents & senior citizens Act, 2007.

4. It is submitted that the allegations of the entire prosecution case is false, originally the Petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Further the accused No.1 and defacto complainant had quarrel in regard of relationship of the 1st accused with the accused No.2 with this motive the defacto complainant relatives had had induced her to lodge this false complaint against this petitioners. In fact the accused No.3 & 4 doesn't have any overact in the present FIR. It is pertinent to point out here that no occurrence has been made out on the date and time as alleged in the F.I.R.

Petitioners

Respondent No.2

1 *A. K. Kishore*
2 *P. L. L.*
3 *V. Vinutha*
4 *V. Vijay Anandh*



L.T.I of shagunthala

5. It is submitted that while the matter is being so the Petitioners and the defacto complainant both are offered compromise and settled their issue before their elders and relatives and the Petitioner is offered forgiveness to the Defacto Complainant to her



Dated at Madurai on this 8th day of October 2025

¹ A. K. Thibault ² P. B. Chou
³ V. Vijay ⁴ A. N. D. H. Y. Vinitha

T. Sams
Counsel for the Respondent



CRL OP(MD), No.18276 of 2025

5.The petitioners, namely, Krithik Syamala (Aadhaar No.3856 0323 2776), Jiva @ Ganesan (Aadhaar No.8948 1980 6656), Vijayaanandh (Aadhaar No.2422 5812 7410), Vinitha (Aadhaar No. 4021 0609 1039) and the second respondent, namely, Shagunthala (Aadhaar No.5289 3055 3085) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Mr.P.Pandy, Special Sub Inspector of Police, Thiru Nagar Police Station, Madurai. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

6.Considering the nature of the offences and the fact that the dispute is between the mother, daughter and other relatives and that the parties have arrived at a compromise, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned FIR pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIR in Crime No.250 of 2019, on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

27.10.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes /No
cp



CRL OP(MD), No.18276 of 2025

WEB COPY

TO

1. The Inspector of Police,
Thiru Nagar Police,
Madurai.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.18276 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN,J

CP

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18276 of 2025

Date : 27/10/2025