



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.17515 and 17578 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 22.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.17515 and 17578 of 2025

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.17515 of 2025

Arjun

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs.

1.The Inspector of Police,
Samayanallur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.138 of 2025).

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Rajendran

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records relating to the First Information Report in Crime No.138 of 2025 on the file of the first respondent police, Samayanallur Police Station, Madurai District and quash the same in so far as the petitioner concern.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.V.Rajadurai

For R-1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R-2 : Mr.B.Vinoth Kumar



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.17515 and 17578 of 2025

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.17578 of 2025

1.Rajendran

2.Vinoth @ Vinothkumar

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 & 2

Vs.

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Samayanallur Sub Division,
Madurai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Samayanallur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.139 of 2025).

... Respondents 1 & 2/Complainant

3.Arjun

... 3rd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records relating to the First Information Report in Crime No.139 of 2025 on the file of the second respondent police, Samayanallur Police Station, Madurai District and quash the same insofar as the petitioners concern.

For Petitioners : Mr.B.Vinoth Kumar

For R-1 & R-2 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R-3 : Mr.K.V.Rajadurai



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.17515 and 17578 of 2025

COMMON ORDER

WEB COPY

These Criminal Original Petitions are filed to quash the FIRs in Crime No.138 of 2025 registered for the offences under Section 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023; and Crime No.139 of 2025 registered for the offences under Section 296(b), 115(2) of BNS, 2023, Section 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) and 3(2) (va) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

2. The accused in one case is the defacto complainant in the other case. The allegations in the FIRs are that on account of dispute with regard to grazing of cattle in farming land, the parties abused each other in filthy language and attacked each other with sticks besides committing the offence of criminal intimidation; and that the accused had also humiliated the defacto complainant on account of his caste and thus committed the aforesaid offences.

3. Due to the intervention of the elders, the parties have now arrived at a compromise and decided to give a quietus to the entire dispute. The parties have filed joint compromise memo separately.

4. The scanned copy of the compromise memo filed in Crl.O.P.(MD). No.17515 of 2025 reads as follows:

3/11



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.17515 and 17578 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE JUDICATURE OF MADRAS AT
MADURAI BENCH

(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)

②

Crl.O.P(MD) No. Of 2025

-In-

Crime No:138 of 2025

(On The File of the Inspector of Police, Samayanallur police
Station, Madurai District)

Arjun(18/M),
S/O. Deivam,
No.93, 5th street,
Vallakulam,
Vairavanayham
Madurai District

...Petitioner/Sole Accused

-vs-

1. The Inspector of Police,
Samayanallur Police Station,
Madurai District.

(Crime.No.138/2025) ...1st Respondents/Complainant

2. Rajendran (65/M),
S/O. Ranjuservai
1-126/19, Vairavanatham,
Madurai District.

...2nd Respondent/De-Facto
complainant

JOINT MEMO OF COMPROMISE

1. The petitioners submits that they have been arrayed as accused in crime no.138/2025 on the file of the 2nd respondent police for the offence section 296(b),115(2),351(2) B.N.S. based on the complaint of the 2nd respondent.

2. The petitioners submit that the petitioners and the third respondent are same village and residing same locality. So, in the interest of our welfare and to establish peace in future life of the petitioners and the 3rd respondent, they have been compromised themselves at the instance of their elder peoples of their village and forgiven the differences of opinion and settled the issue by withdrawing the criminal case Pending before the 1st

ப.சு.சீனிவாசன்

B. S. Srinivasan



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.17515 and 17578 of 2025

WEB COPY

respondent police which was initiated by the de-facto complainant.

3. The petitioners submit that in fit of anger the second respondents given this complaint. Now the differences and the tension between the petitioners and the 2nd Respondent have been amicably settled for the peaceful future life. Further petitioners and the 2nd respondent now reside under the same locality. Therefore this writ for compromise is filed before this Hon'ble court for compounding the above offence with signature of both parties. Therefore the petitioner most humbly prays for pardon of this Hon'ble court for inconvenience caused by them.

4. The petitioners humbly submit that in view of their compromise for a peaceful future life, this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to permit them to compound the offence in the interest of justice though the offences are not compoundable.

Therefore it is prayed that this Hon'ble court may be pleased to accept the compromise arrived at between the petitioners and the 2nd respondent to compound the case pending before the 2nd respondent in Crime.No. 139 of 2025 and quash the same and pass such other orders and thus render justice.

Dated at Madurai on this the 10th day of October 2025

Counsel for Petitioners

Petitioners Signature

Counsel for 3rd respondent

3rd respondent Signature



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.17515 and 17578 of 2025

5. The scanned copy of the compromise memo filed in Crl.O.P.(MD).

No.17578 of 2025 reads as follows:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
MADURAI BENCH
Crl.O.P. (MD) No. _____ OF 2025
In
Crime No:139 of 2025
(On The File of the Inspector of police, Samayanallur police
Station, Madurai District)

1. Rajendran (65/M),
S/O. Ranjuservai,

2. Vinoth & Vinothkumar(M/34),
S/o. Rajendran
Both are residing at,
1-126/19, Vairavanatham,
Madurai District. ...Petitioners/A1 & A2

/vs/

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Samayanallur sub division,
Madurai district. ...1st Respondent

2. The Inspector of Police,
Samayanallur Police Station,
Madurai District. ...2nd Respondent

3. Arjun(19/M),
S/O. Deivam,
No.93, 5th street,
Vallakulam,
Vairavanayham
Madurai District. ...3rd Respondent/ De-facto complainant

JOINT MEMO OF COMPROMISE

1. The petitioners submits that they have been arrayed
as accused in crime no.139/2025 on the file of the 2nd
respondent police for the offence section
3(1)(r),3(1)(s),3(2)(va) of SC/ST ACT r/w 115(2),296(b)
of B.N.S, based on the complaint of the 3rd respondent.

1. *R. Rajan*
2. *G. Vinu*

D. Sankar



WEB COPY

(3)
2. The petitioners submit that the petitioners and the third respondent are same village and residing same locality. So, in the interest of our welfare and to establish peace in future life of the petitioners and the 3rd respondent, they have been compromised themselves at the instance of their elder peoples of their village and forgiven the differences of opinion and settled the issue by withdrawing the criminal case Pending before the 2nd respondent police which was initiated by the de-facto complainant.

3. The petitioners submit that in fit of anger the second respondents given this complaint. Now the differences and the issues between the petitioners at the second Respondent have been amicably settled for the peaceful future life further petitioners and the 3rd respondent now reside under the same locality. Therefore this memo for compromise is filed before this Hon'ble court for compounding the above offence with signature of both parties. Therefore the petitioner most humbly prays for pardon of this Hon'ble court for inconvenience caused by them.

4. The petitioners humbly submit that in view of their compromise for a peaceful future life, this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to permit them to compound the offence in the interest of justice though the offences are not compoundable.

1 R. Ravi

2 S. S. S.

D. S. S.



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.17515 and 17578 of 2025

WEB COPY

Therefore it is prayed that this Hon'ble court may be pleased to accept the compromise arrived at between the petitioners and the 3rd respondent to compound the case pending before the 2nd respondent in Crime.No. 139 of 2025 and quash the same and pass such other orders and thus render justice.

Dated at Madurai on this the 10th day of October 2025

Counsel for Petitioners

B. (Signature)

Counsel for 3rd respondent

(Signature)
37/10/25

Petitioners Signature

1 R. Raju
2 R. Vinu

3rd respondent Signature

D. (Signature)

6. The petitioners and the defacto complainant, namely, Arjun (Aadhaar No.7564 6919 5310), Rajendran (Aadhaar No.6384 6184 1636) and Vinoth @ Vinothkumar (Aadhaar No.9713 8152 8013) in both the cases are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Mr.C.Mariappan, Special



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.17515 and 17578 of 2025

Sub Inspector of Police, Samayanallur Police Station, Madurai District. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

7. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that no compensation was received by the defacto complainant in terms of the SC/ST (POA) Act.

8. In view of the same, considering the nature of allegations and the compromise arrived between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the impugned FIRs pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIRs in Crime No.138 of 2025 and Crime No.139 of 2025 dated 18.07.2025 are quashed and the Criminal Original Petitions are allowed. The petitioners in both the cases shall pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) each as costs to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre attached to this Bench forthwith and file a photocopy of the receipt along with a memo reporting compliance in the Registry.

22.10.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
Lm

9/11



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.17515 and 17578 of 2025

To

- 1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Samayanallur Sub Division,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Samayanallur Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.17515 and 17578 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Lm

Crl.O.P(MD).Nos.17515 and 17578 of 2025

22.10.2025