



CRL OP(MD). No.17444 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 14/10/2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP(MD). No.17444 of 2025
and
CrI.M.P(MD) No.14160 of 2025

1. Arun Kumar

2. Sasi Bala

... Petitioners

Vs

1.The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,,
Manamadurai SIPCOT Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(Crime No.120 of 2025).

2.Veerappan

... Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the entire records in relating to the impugned FIR in Crime No. 120 of 2025 on the file of the first respondent and to quash the same in so far as the petitioners are concerned.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.S.Jeyakarthik,

For R1 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi,
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R2 : Mr.P.Balaganesh



CRL OP(MD), No.17444 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition is filed seeking to quash the FIR in Crime No.120 of 2025 on the file of the first respondent Police, which was registered for the offences under Sections 326(f) of BNS @ 326(g) of BNS.

2.The allegation in the FIR is that the defacto complaint runs a tea shop in his village, viz., Uruli; that the petitioners along with others set fire to certain waste materials in front of his shop; that the fire caused damage to the tune of Rs.500/- to the defacto complainant tea shop and thus, committed the aforesaid offence.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the defacto complainant/the second respondent would submit that the parties have now entered into a compromise on the advise of the elders and well-wishers and both the parties have agreed that the impugned F.I.R may be quashed.

4.The parties have also filed a joint compromise memo dated 25.09.2025. The scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:



CRL OP(MD). No.17444 of 2025

WEB COPY

2

hours and the FIR was only registered on 17.06.2025. Totally, 3 persons were arrayed as accused, among them, the petitioners were arrayed as accused nos. 2 & 3.

2] It is most respectfully submitted that the alleged case of the prosecution is that the defacto-complainant runs tea shop in his village viz, Uruli. On 01.06.2025 at about 2 am, the defacto-complainant's son and his daughter-in-law informed to the defacto-complainant that 3 unknown persons set fire in front of his tea shop. Immediately, the defacto-complainant rushed to his shop and he found that his shop's front plastic festoon was gotten damaged and the damage value is Rs. 500/-. Further, he also found the broken quarter liquor bottle and lamp wick in his shop. With the above allegations, the 2nd respondent lodged complaint before the 1st respondent. Initially, the above FIR was registered as against the unnamed persons, thereafter, the petitioners and one another were arrayed as accused in the said FIR.

3] It is most respectfully submitted that now, the investigation of the above FIR is still pending before the 1st respondent. The petitioners and the 2nd respondent are belonged to Uruli village. The 2nd respondent well known the family of the petitioners. Due to the intervention of the village elders and well wishers, now, the petitioners and the 2nd respondent entered into compromise and they have amicably settled their dispute. Hence, the 2nd respondent is hereby giving his consent to quash the impugned FIR in crime no. 120 of 2025 on the file of the 1st respondent in so far as the petitioners are concerned. In view of the compromise, between the petitioners and 2nd respondent, it is just and necessary to quash the above impugned FIR in so far as the petitioners are concerned.

4] To that effect of compromise between the petitioners and the 2nd respondent, the present joint compromise memo is filed before this Hon'ble High Court.

✓ A. P. R. S.

✓ R. S. D. S.

✓ T. S. S.



CRL OP(MD). No.17444 of 2025

WEB COPY

3

Therefore it is prayed that this Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to accept this Joint Compromise memo and consequently, to quash the impugned FIR in crime no. 120 of 2025 on the file of the 1st respondent in so far as the petitioners are concerned and thus render justice.

Dated at Madurai on this the 25th day of September, 2025.

2nd RESPONDENT

M.P. Balakrishnan
26/9/25
COUNSEL FOR 2nd RESPONDENT
M.P. BALAKRISHNAN

PETITIONERS

T. Saravanan
26/9/25
COUNSEL FOR PETITIONERS
M.S. JAYAKARTHIK

Bar for ME

T.S. 3329/12

T. Saravanan, BAR

T.M.P. Law Office

Law App Bar

MAHARAJAPURAM

Call. 9994350030



CRL OP(MD). No.17444 of 2025

5.The petitioners, namely, Arun Kumar (Aadhaar No.3670 6387 6216), Sasi Bala (Aadhaar No.7679 9049 0742) and the second respondent, namely, Veerappan (Aadhaar No.6824 4182 7427) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Mr.D.Gnanam, Special Sub Inspector of Police, Manamadurai SIPCOT Police Station, Sivagangai District. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

6.Considering the nature of the offences and the fact that the parties have arrived at a compromise, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned FIR pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIR in Crime No.120 of 2025, on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

14.10.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
cp

5/7



CRL OP(MD). No.17444 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,,
Manamadurai SIPCOT Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.17444 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN,J

CP

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17444 of 2025

Date : 14/10/2025