



CRL OP(MD). No.17310 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 05.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) NO. 17310 of 2025

Bazid Malik

..Petitioner

Vs

1.State Of Tamilnadu,

Rep By The Inspector Of Police,

All Women Police Station,

Oddanchatram,

Dindigul District.

(Crime No.28 of 2025)

2.Nagananthini

Respondent(s)

(R2 is suo motu impleaded

as per order of this Court

dated 27.10.2025.)

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr.Niranjana S.Kumar

For Respondent(s) :

Mr.S.S.Manoj (for R1)

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

Mr.K.Mondila Anand (for R2)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS



CRL OP(MD). No.17310 of 2025

PRAYER :-

WEB COPY For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.28 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 69 and 351(2) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.28 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and the petitioner were in a 'live-in' relationship at Bangalore without being married. Thereafter, A3 refused to accept the relationship and all other accused persons were threatened the defacto complainant and insisted to end up the relationship with the petitioner. Hence, a case was registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an



CRL OP(MD). No.17310 of 2025

innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He, however, submitted that the petitioner is willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that the petitioner promised to marry the defacto complainant and due to their 'live-in' relationship, the defacto complainant became pregnant and due to the insistence of the petitioner, she underwent abortion and the investigation is going on. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and the petitioner refused to marry the defacto complainant, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.17310 of 2025

WEB COPY 7. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

vsg

05.11.2025



CRL OP(MD). No.17310 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate,
Oddanchathiram, Dindigul District.

2. The Inspector Of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Oddanchatram,
Dindigul District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.17310 of 2025

S. SRIMATHY. J. ,

vsg

Cr1.O.P. (MD) .No.17310 of 2025

Date : 05.11.2025