WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 22.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

W.P.Crl.(MD).No.1760 of 2025

K.Kanimozhi ... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai,
Sivagangai District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Sivagangai,
Sivagangai District. ... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to conduct proper enquiry in pursuance of the petition receipt vide CSR No. 425/2025 dated 12.07.2025 issued by the 2nd respondent and consequently directing the respondents to take appropriate action on the basis of the complaint dated 11.07.2025 in accordance with law after giving opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Shanmugaraja Sethupathi,

For Respondents : Mr.R.M.Anbunidhi,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed for a Mandamus, directing the respondents to conduct proper enquiry in C.S.R.No.425 of 2025, dated 12.07.2025 on the file of the second respondent and consequently, directing the respondents to take appropriate action on the petitioner's complaint, dated 11.07.2025.

2. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner/wife also appeared for enquiry and stated that she would live with her husband after he returns from abroad and therefore, the enquiry on the petitioner's complaint was closed.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner, however, would submit that no enquiry was conducted; and that the complaint was closed only because the husband of the petitioner had agreed to live with the petitioner.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

4. In view of the aforesaid statement, this Court is of the view that the respondents have not registered the complaint as no cognizable offence is made out on the complaint given by the petitioner. Whatever be the reason for the closure of the said complaint, the remedy open to the petitioner is to approach the learned Magistrate. The respondents shall communicate the closure of the complaint to the petitioner forthwith.

5. With the above liberty, this Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

22.10.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No

Indu

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Indu

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,,
O/o. The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai,
Sivagangai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Sivagangai,
Sivagangai District..

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P.Crl.(MD).No.1760 of 2025

22.10.2025

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis