



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17012 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 13.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.17012 of 2025

and

Crl.M.P.(MD).No.13824 of 2025

- 1.Anja Number @ Anjanambar
- 2.Nehrumani
- 3.Elumalai
- 4.Yesu @ Swamimalai
- 5.Chellamani
- 6.Chinnaponnu

... Petitioner/Accused Rank 1, 3 to 7

Vs.

- 1.State of Tamil Nadu through
The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.240/2021)

... Respondent No.1/De-jure Complainant

- 2.Kalavathi

... Respondent No.2/Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records connected to FIR in Crime No.240 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent No.1 and quash the same as illegal as against the petitioners.

For Petitioners : Dr.R.Alagumani
For R-1 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17012 of 2025

WEB COPY

For R-2 : Mr.S.Mohammed Yunnis Raja

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed seeking to quash the FIR in Crime No.240 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent, which was registered for the offences under Section 147, 447, 294(b), 323 and 506(1) of IPC.

2. The allegation in the FIR is that on account of prior enmity, the petitioners trespassed into the defacto complainant's land and caused damage to the basement of the construction; that they had abused her in filthy language; and that they had attacked the defacto complainant and her brother with hands and wooden log besides committing the offence of criminal intimidation.

3. Admittedly, the petitioners and the second respondent are residents of the same Village and they have now entered into a compromise and decided to give a quietus to the entire dispute. The parties have filed a joint compromise memo dated 13.10.2025 and the scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17012 of 2025

WEB COPY

4

5. Cheilamani, (F, 60/2025) (A6)
W/o. Anjanambhar,
No.4-217, West Street,
Virulipatti,
Nilakottai Taluk,
Dindigul District.

6. Chinnapattu, (F, 55/2025) (A7)
W/o. Machinperaja,
No.4-375, East Street,
Virulipatti,
Nilakottai Taluk,
Dindigul District.

... Petitioners/ Accused No.1, 3 to 7

1. State of Tamil Nadu through,
The Inspector of Police,
Barlagunda Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(In Crime No. 240 of 2021)

... Respondent No.1/De-jure Complainant

2. Kalivuthi,
W/o. Bose,
East Street, Virulipatti,
Nilakottai Taluk,
Dindigul District.

... Respondent No.2 / De-facto Complainant

COMPROMISE MEMO

1. It is submitted that the Petitioners has most respectfully approached this Honorable Court may be pleased to call for the entire records connected with the Proceedings in FIR in Crime no.240 of 2021 dated 10.04.2021 on the file of the Respondent No.1 and quash the same as illegal.

1. சைலமணி

2. சின்னப்பட்டு

3. அகிலவதி

4. அரசு

5. (LTI of Chinnapattu)

6. கலிவூதி

சென்னை



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17012 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. It is submitted that the case of prosecution is that on 27.02.2021 at about 02:30 pm, it was alleged that the Petitioners entered into the defacto complainant's land, abused her with filthy languages and damaged the basement of her newly constructing house. Thereafter, the defacto complainant preferred complaint before the respondent police on 10.04.2021 and FIR was registered in Crime No. 240 of 2021 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 447, 294(b), 323 and 506(i) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.
3. It is submitted that due to the civil dispute between the petitioner no.1 and the defacto complainant, wordy quarrel was raised between them. But all other petitioners were implicated in the impugned FIR. Now, the dispute has been settled by the parties amicably and the defacto complainant decided to withdraw the case as against the petitioners. Therefore, the continuation of criminal proceedings would only lead to unnecessary mental agony, harassment of the petitioners.
4. It is submitted that the defacto complainant and the petitioners are residing in the same village. That the defacto complainant doesn't want to proceed further with the criminal proceedings related to the impugned FIR and ready to file this joint compromise memo.
5. It is submitted that since the Respondent Police falsely implicated the Petitioners as accused in the impugned FIR without appreciating any evidence, the defacto complainant is now decided to compromise the case by filing joint compromise memo. Now the defacto complainant did not want to proceed the case further as against the Petitioners, as such the defacto complainant wants to withdraw this instant case.

1. *[Signature]* 5. *[Signature]* (LRI of Chinnapattu)
2. A. *[Signature]* 6. *[Signature]*
3. A. *[Signature]*
4. *[Signature]*



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17012 of 2025

WEB COPY

6

6. It is submitted that the Petitioners are innocents and they were falsely implicated in this case. The defacto complainant and the Petitioners are very well known each other and they are maintaining good relationship.

For the above reasons this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to accept this compromise memo and call for the records connected with the FIR in Crime No.240 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent no.1 and quash the same as illegal and pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render Justice.

Dated at Madurai on this 13th day of Oct 2025.

1. *[Signature]*
2. *[Signature]*
3. *[Signature]*
4. *[Signature]*

Petitioners

[Signature]
Counsel for the Petitioners

5. *[Signature]* (LIT of Chinnappan)
6. *[Signature]*

[Signature]

Defacto Complainant

[Signature]
Counsel for the Defacto Complainant



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17012 of 2025

4. The petitioners and the defacto complainant are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Mr.P.Balamurugan, Special Sub Inspector of Police, Batlagundu Police Station, Dindigul District. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

5. In view of the same and considering the nature of the offences and the relationship between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the impugned FIR pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIR in Crime No.240 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

13.10.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
Lm

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

7/8



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17012 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Lm

Crl.O.P(MD).No.17012 of 2025

13.10.2025