



CRL OP(MD). No.17284 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated : 14/10/2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP(MD). No.17284 of 2025

1. Remigius Jayakumar,

2. Solomonraj,

3. Sahayaraj,

... Petitioners

Vs

1. The Inspector of Police,
Samayapuram Police Station,
Tiruchirappalli City.
(Crime No.94 of 2022).

2. Renifreeda,
W/o.Jeyaseelan Samraj,

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the entire records in relating to the Impugned FIR in Crime No.94 of 2022 dated 18.04.2022 on the file of the 1st respondent and to quash the same in so far as the petitioners are concerned.



CRL OP(MD). No.17284 of 2025

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Vidhya Sagar,
For Respondent : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor
For R3 : Mr.M.P.Balaganesh

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed seeking to quash the FIR in Crime No.94/2022 on the file of the first respondent Police, which was registered for the offences under Sections 296(b), 324, 506(2) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2022.

2. The allegation in the FIR is that due to a property dispute, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant with their hands and a stick and also abused her in filthy language and thus committed the offence of criminal intimidation.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the defacto complainant/the second respondent would submit that the parties are closely related to each other and also would submit that the parties have now entered into a compromise on the advise of the elders



CRL OP(MD). No.17284 of 2025

and well-wishers and both the parties have agreed that the impugned F.I.R may be quashed.

4.The parties have also filed a joint compromise memo. The scanned copy of the compromise memo dated 10.10.2025 reads as follows:



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.17284 of 2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF MADRAS AT
MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)**

**Cr.L.O.P(MD)No. 17284 of 2025
In**

**Crime No. 94 of 2022
(On the file of the respondent police)**

1. REMIGIUS JAYAKUMAR, [M/34 Years]
S/o. Francis Xavier,
No. 4/494-6/118, Post Office Street,
Purathakudi, Manachanallur,
Irungalur,
Tiruchirapalli District. ... Petitioner/Accused No. 1
 2. SOLOMONRAJ, [M/57 Years]
S/o. Erudhayanadhan,
No. 106/0, Venkatesapuram,
Perambalur,
Perambalur District. ... Petitioner/Accused No. 2
 3. SAHAYARAJ, [M/50 Years]
S/o. Erudhayanadhan,
No. 4/83, Nadu Theru,
Gabrielapuram, Lalgudi,
Valadi,
Tiruchirapalli District. ... Petitioner/Accused No. 3
- Vs-
1. The State, Represented by, its,
The Inspector of Police,
Samayapuram Police Station,
Tiruchirapalli City,
(Crime No. 94/2022) ... 1st Respondent/Complainant
 2. RENIFREEDA, [F/32 Years]
W/o. Jeyaseelan Samraj,
No. 4/25-1, Bungalow Street,
In front of St. Johns School,
Mettu Irungalur, Irungalur,
Tiruchirapalli District. ... 2nd respondent/Defacto Complainant

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO

1. It is most respectfully submitted that the 1st respondent police registered a FIR in Crime No. 94 of 2022 as against the petitioners for the alleged offences u/s. 294(b), 324 & 506(2) of IPC and Section 4 of TPWHA based on the complaint

Renifreeda

Solomonraj



CRL OP(MD). No.17284 of 2025

WEB COPY

✓

given by the 2nd respondent. The above FIR is pending investigation before the 1st respondent.

2. It is most respectfully submitted that the alleged case of the prosecution is that the De-facto complainant is residing in the above said address. The De-facto Complainant and the 1st Accused Person who were siblings already share a property dispute. On 16.04.2022 at around 6:45 pm it was informed to the de-facto complainant that her father was attacked by the 1st petitioner herein and he had been admitted in a Private Hospital. Upon which, the De-facto complainant had gone to the said hospital to take her father back home. Once immediately when the complainant was about to start, her brother who was standing nearby had come and quarrelled with her by using Filthy and abusive language. The said Accused Persons No. 2 & 3 had cursed her with bad words and when she had asked them to not to interfere the Accused Person No. 1 took a wooden log laying around and had hit the De-facto complainant on the right back of her head and the Accused Persons No. 2 & 3 had pushed her into the mud pit nearby and all the Accused Persons had threatened her. Hence with the above allegations, the complaint was came to be registered by the 1st respondent.

3. It is most respectfully submitted that during the pendency of the above FIR, since the petitioners and the De-facto complainant belong to the same locality and they are also siblings and neighbors, they have entered into compromise and they have amicably settled their dispute. Therefore, no further issue is existed between the petitioners and the 2nd respondent. Therefore, the 2nd respondent does not want to proceed with the above-mentioned criminal case as against the petitioners. Therefore, it is just and necessary to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No. 94 of 2022 on the file of the 1st respondent.

4] To that effect of compromise between the petitioners and the 2nd respondent, the present joint compromise memo is filed before this Hon'ble High Court.

F. Renu Freda



CRL OP(MD). No.17284 of 2025

WEB COPY

Therefore it is prayed that this Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to accept this Joint Compromise memo and thus render justice.

Dated at Madurai on this day of September, 2025.

F. Ravi Suresh

2ND RESPONDENT

H. Balakrishnan
COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

1.

2.

3.

PETITIONERS

Prd 29/8/2025
COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER



CRL OP(MD). No.17284 of 2025

5.The first petitioner, namely, Remigius Jayakumar (Aadhaar No. 4761 4487 3738), the second petitioner, namely, Solomonraj (Aadhaar No.9581 3109 3927), the third petitioner, namely, Sahayaraj (Aadhaar No.9903 2726 1828) and the second respondent, namely, Renifreeda (Aadhaar No.5905 3472 2659) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by M.Jayanthi, Head Constable, Samayapuram Police Station, Tiruchirappalli District. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

6.Considering the nature of the offences, the relationship between the parties and the fact that the parties have arrived at a compromise, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned FIR pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIR in Crime No.94 of 2022, on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

22.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
tta



CRL OP(MD). No.17284 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Samayapuram Police Station,
Tiruchirappalli City.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

SUNDER MOHAN,J



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.17284 of 2025

TTA

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17284 of 2025**

Date : 14/10/2025