



CRL OP(MD). No.16469 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **26.09.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) NO. 16469 of 2025

1.Paluchamy @ Balusamy

2.Panchavarnam

3.Arunprathap

4.Marish

..Petitioners/A1 to A4

Vs

State Of Tamilnadu,

Rep By The Sub Inspector Of Police,

T.Ramanathapuram Police Station,

Madurai District.

(Crime No.38 of 2025)

Respondent (s)

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr.P.Praveenkumar

For Respondent(s) :


Mr.S.S.Manoj

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.38
of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

1/8




CRL OP(MD). No.16469 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 316, 85 and 351(ii) of BNS Act, 2023, in Crime No.38 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are in-laws of the defacto complainant. The marriage between the defacto complainant and the first petitioner's son was solemnized in the year 2015. At the time of marriage, the parents of the defacto complainant gave 38 sovereigns of gold jewels. Thereafter, the petitioners had taken the defacto complainant's jewels without her knowledge. When the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, they abused her in filthy language and also threatened her. Hence, a case was



CRL OP(MD). No.16469 of 2025


registered.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He, however, submitted that the petitioners are in-laws and they are willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that the petitioners are in-laws of the defacto complainant. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence, and also taking note of the fact that the petitioners are in-laws of the defacto




CRL OP(MD). No.16469 of 2025

WEB COPY

complainant, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Usilampatti, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with common surety for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Usilampatti, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall



CRL OP(MD). No.16469 of 2025

WEB COPY

affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;


(b) the petitioners shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Usilampatti. In the event of any change in his residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Usilampatti;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid



CRL OP(MD). No.16469 of 2025

WEB COPY

Conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(g) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

26.09.2025

ksa



CRL OP(MD). No.16469 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Usilampatti.
- 2.The Sub Inspector Of Police,
T.Ramanathapuram Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.16469 of 2025

S. SRIMATHY. J. ,

ksa

Cr1.O.P. (MD) .No.16469 of 2025

Date : 26.09.2025