



CRL OP(MD). No.17071 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 29.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD). No.17071 of 2025

1. M.Karthi @ Karthikeyan,
2. Edison @ Edi,
3. Pragadees @ M.Pragatheesh,
4. Pappulu,

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Nachiyarkoil Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
Crime No. 394/2022.

2. Tamilselvan,
3. Manobala,
4. Vasanthkumar
5. Karthikeyan

... Respondents

PRAYER :-Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records in S.C.No.189 of 2025 on the file of the learned Additional District Judge, Kumbakonam, Thanjavur District and quash the charge sheet.



CRL OP(MD). No.17071 of 2025

For Petitioners : Mr. Vijayan K,

For Respondents : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R1

: Mr.K.Durai Pandian for R3 to 5

ORDER

The petitioners sought for quashing of the impugned final report in S.C.No.189 of 2025 on the file of the learned Additional District Judge, Kumbakonam, Thanjavur District, registered for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 324 and 307 I.P.C.

2. The gist of the allegation in the final report is that on account of prior enmity, the petitioners had attacked defacto complainant and three witnesses with iron rod and abused them in filthy language and thus committed the aforesaid offences.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the dispute is private in nature and the parties have arrived at a compromise; and that though the petitioners have been charged for the offence under Section 307 I.P.C., the doctor had opined that the injuries suffered by the



CRL OP(MD). No.17071 of 2025

victims and the defacto complainant are simple in nature and also produced the extract of the Accident Register in respect of the three victims, which confirms that the victims had sustained only simple injuries. Both the parties have agreed that the impugned final report may be quashed.

4. The parties have also filed a joint compromise memo dated 09.10.2025. The petitioners and the respondents 3 and 5 are present before this Court in person. The defacto complainant, who is in custody, in connection with another case, appeared through Video Conferencing and one Vasanthkumar, one of the victims, is also appeared through Video Conferencing. They are identified by Mr.C.Kulanthaivelu, S.I., Nachiyarkoil Police Station, Thanjavur District. Both the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

6. Though the allegation is for an offence under Section 307 I.P.C, as rightly pointed out by the learned counsel and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, the injuries suffered by the victims and the defacto complainant are a simple injury and therefore, do not suggest the



CRL OP(MD). No.17071 of 2025

commission of an offence under Section 307 I.P.C.

WEB COPY

7. Considering the above, the nature of allegations, the fact that the dispute is private in nature and since the parties have now arrived at a compromise, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned final report pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned final report in S.C.No.189 of 2025 on the file of the learned Additional District Judge, Kumbakonam, Thanjavur District, is hereby quashed. The joint compromise memo dated 09.10.2025, shall form part and parcel of the order.

7. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed.

29.10.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
LS /ars



CRL OP(MD). No.17071 of 2025

WEB COPY

TO

1. The Additional District Judge,
Kumbakonam,
Thanjavur District
2. The Inspector of Police,
Nachiyarkoil Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.17071 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN,J

LS/ars

CRL OP(MD) No.17071 of 2025

29.10.2025