



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.16203 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 24.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.16203 of 2025

Marimuthu @ Marimughu

... Petitioner / Sole Accused

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by the Sub Inspector of Police,
Kumbakonam East Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
(Crime No.1540 of 2021)

...1st Respondent / Complainant

2. Dinesh

... 2nd Respondent/ Defacto
Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records relating to the impugned FIR in Crime No.1540 of 2021 on the file of the 1st respondent Police and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Vignesh

For R1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R2 : Mr.A.Syed Sulthan Alaudheen



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.16203 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner seeks to quash the FIR in Crime No.1540 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent Police, which has been filed for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 392, 397 and 506(ii) of IPC.

2. The allegation in the FIR is that on 20.06.2021, while the defacto complainant selling the fruits in a street, the accused person came there and demanded money; that when the same was refused by the defacto complainant, the accused abused him in filthy language and threatened him with knife and snatched a sum of Rs.150/- from the defacto complainant.

3. Admittedly, the petitioner and the second respondent have now entered into a compromise and decided to give a quietus to the entire dispute. The parties have filed a joint compromise memo, dated 23rd September 2025 and the scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.16203 of 2025

WEB COPY

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal Appellate Jurisdiction)
Crl.O.P.(MD)No. 16203 of 2025
Against
Crime No. 1540 of 2021
(On the file of the respondent police)**

Marimuthu @ Marimughu, M/ 39 yrs,
S/o. Kannan,
No. 14, West Street,
East Kottaiyur,
Kumbakonam,
Thanjavur District. ...Petitioner/ Sole Accused

-Vs-

1.State of Tamil Nadu
Rep by The Sub Inspector of Police,
Kumbakonam East Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
(crime No. 1540 of 2021). ...1st Respondent/ Complainant

2.Dinesh,
S/o. Moorthi,
No.1, Nadu Street,
Melakkottaiyur,
Melakaveri,
Thanjavur District. ...2nd Respondent/Defacto
Complainant

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO

The petitioner and the 2nd respondent begs to state as follows:-

K. M.

x m l.



WEB COPY (9)

1. It is submitted that the Petitioner begs to prefer this Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Original Petition to call for the records relating to the impugned FIR in Crime No. 1540 of 2021 on the file of the 1st respondent police

2. It is submitted that the case of the prosecution is that on 20.06.2021 while the defacto complainant selling a fruits in a street the accused person came there and asked money from the defacto complainant and he refused to give money accused person had abused the defacto complainant with filthy language and suddenly accused person had threatened her with knife and snatched sum of Rs.150/- from the defacto complainant.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been implicated in Crime No. 1540 of 2021 registered on the file of the 1st respondent based on the complaint of the 2nd respondent. The offences implicated against the petitioner are under sections 294 (b), 392, 397, 506 (2) of I.P.C. The petitioner has come toward before this Hon'ble Court to quash the impugned FIR by invoking inherent jurisdiction 528 of BNSS Act. Hence it is just and necessary to record the compromise and quash the F.I.R. itself in the interest of justice. Hence it

K. N.

per M. J. S.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.16203 of 2025

is just and necessary to record the compromise and quash the F.I.R. itself in the interest of justice.

It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to record this compromise memo filed by the Petitioner and the 2nd Respondent herein and quash the impugned F.I.R. in Crime No. 1540 of 2021 on the file of the 1st respondent police and quash the same and thus render justice.

Counsel for Petitioner.

Counsel for 2nd respondent.

Counsel for petitioner	Counsel for the 2 nd respondent
K.Vignesh, (En.No.9098/2022) No.191, Law Chamber, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai Cell No. 807201630	T.Vivck, (En.No. 5443/2021) No.62, Law Chamber, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai Cell No. 8056468507



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.16203 of 2025

4. The second respondent, namely, Dinesh (Aadhaar No.6179 6442 6602) is present before this Court in person and is identified by Mr.K.Sankar, Special Sub Inspector of Police, Kumbakonam East Police Station, Thanjavur District. Both the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor, on instructions, would fairly submit that the petitioner is not a habitual offender and there is no previous case pending against him.

6. In view of the above and considering the nature of the offence and there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and the dispute is private in nature, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned FIR pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIR in Crime No.1540 of 2021, dated 20.06.2021 is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

24.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No

Indu



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.16203 of 2025

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Kumbakonam East Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
 - 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.16203 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Indu

Crl.O.P(MD).No.16203 of 2025

24.09.2025