



CRL OP(MD). No.16632 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 26/09/2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP(MD). No.16632 of 2025

1. Noor Mohamed,
2. Rias,
3. Kalandar,
4. Raj Mohamed,

... Petitioners

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep through the Inspector of Police,
Kottaipattinam Police Station,
Pudukottai District.
(Crime No.28 of 2010).

2. Lukmanhakkim

... Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records relating to the Charge Sheet in S.C.No.199 of 2025 on the file of the learned District Judge, Pudukottai and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioners	: Mr.M.Iniyavan
For R1	: Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram Additional Public Prosecutor
For R2	: Mr.S.Sabbari Karburajothi



CRL OP(MD), No.16632 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioners seek to quash the impugned Charge Sheet in S.C.No.199 of 2025, on the file of the learned District Judge, Pudukottai, which was filed for the offences under Sections 294(b), 506(ii) of IPC r/w Section 3(1) of PPD Act.

2.The allegation against the petitioners is that the defacto complainant, who is working as a Public Welfare Worker, reprimanded the petitioners for entering the Panchayat Union Office with footwear; that the petitioners abused the defacto complainant with filthy language and damaged the office properties and thus committed the aforesaid offences.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the defacto complainant/the second respondent would submit that the parties have now entered into a compromise on the advice of the elders and well-wishers and both the parties have agreed that the impugned final report may be quashed.

4.The parties have also filed a joint compromise memo. The scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:



CRL OP(MD). No.16632 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE MADRAS AT
MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Crl.O.P.(MD)No. of 2025
In

S.C.No.199 of 2025

(On the file of the

Hon'ble Principal District Judge, Pudukottai)

1. Noor Mohamed, (M/41/2025)
S/o.Mohamed Maraikayar,
No.94C, North Street,
Manamelkudi Taluk,
Kottaiappattinam,
Pudukottai District.

2. Rias (M/41/2025)
S/o.Sahul Hameed,
No.68, North Street,
Manamelkudi Taluk,
Kottaiappattinam,
Pudukottai District.

3. Kalandar (M/45/2025)
S/o.Khan Sahifu,
No.,71, North Street,
Manamelkudi Taluk,
Kottaiappattinam,
Pudukottai District.




M. Subramaniam

Petitioners 50/116 2nd respondent/Defacto complainant

M. Subramaniam
Counsel for petitioners

M. Subramaniam

M. Subramaniam
Counsel for 2nd respondent



CRL OP(MD), No.16632 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. Raj Mohamed (M/38/2025)
S/o. Sahul Hameed,
No.121, North Street,
Manamelkudi Taluk,
Kottaiappattinam,
Pudukottai District.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1 to 4

-Vs-

1. State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. through
The Inspector of Police,
Kottaiappattinam Police Station,
Pudukottai District.
(Crime No.28 of 2010)

....1st Respondent/Complainant

2. Lukmanhakkim, (M/36/2025),
S/o.Moahmed Sareef,
No.1256, Iqbal Street,
Manamelkudi Taluk,
Kottaiappattinam,
Pudukottai District.


...2nd Respondent/Defacto complainant

MEMO OF COMPROMISE

1. It is submitted that the present Criminal Original petition has been filed before this Hon'ble Court calling for the entire records in relating to the S.C.No.199 of 2025 in Crime No.28 of 2010 on the file of the Hon'ble Principal District Judge, Pudukottai quash the same. The 1st respondent police registered the FIR in Crime No.28 of 2010 against the petitioners for the alleged offence U/s.294 (b), 506(ii) of IPC r/w Section 3(1) of PPD Act., cases based on the complaint given by the 2nd respondent.

[Signature]
Petitioners
[Signature]
Counsel for petitioners

[Signature]
2nd respondent/Defacto complainant
[Signature]
Counsel for 2nd respondent



CRL OP(MD), No.16632 of 2025

WEB COPY

8

2. It is submitted that, on 04.03.2010, at about 01.30 p.m., the petitioners had visited the Panchayt Union Office with footwear. The defacto complainant/2nd respondent who is working as a Public Welfare Worker, objected this entry of the Accused No.1 with his footwear and other accused persons expressed their protest by using filthy language with intimidation to damage the Panchayat office and left the office.

The defacto complainant/2nd respondent and the all accused persons are the local residents of the same village and the members of local Jamad. Due to the intervention of the elders of the both sides, the petitioners and the 2nd respondent are agreed to enter into compromise and both had settled their dispute amicably. Therefore, the 2nd respondent did not want to proceed further in the above Criminal case pending before the Hon'ble Principal District Judge, Pudukottai. Therefore, the 2nd respondent did not want to proceed further the above Criminal case pending before the Hon'ble Principal District Judge, Pudukottai against the petitioners.

The 2nd respondent hereby accepts for quashing the S.C.No.199 of 2025 in Crime No.28 of 2010 on the file of the the Hon'ble Principal District Judge, Pudukottai.

N. Raghunath
Petitioners
M. Rajan
Counsel for petitioners

M. L. ...
2nd respondent/Defacto complainant
G. Subashini
Counsel for 2nd respondent



M. J. [Signature]
 Petitioners
 2nd respondent/Defacto complainant
 M. [Signature]
 Counsel for petitioners
 Counsel for 2nd respondent



CRL OP(MD). No.16632 of 2025


5.The petitioners, namely, Noor Mohamed (Aadhaar No.4825 6220 4070), Rias (Aadhaar No.5503 0930 5417), Kalandar (Aadhaar No.4944 3231 6157), Raj Mohamed (Aadhaar No.9996 1857 9709) and the second respondent, namely, Lukmanhakkin (Aadhaar No.8645 5430 8780) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by M/s.Ambigapathi, Special Sub Inspector of Police, Kottaipattinam Police Station, Pudukottai District. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

6.Considering the nature of the offences and the fact that the parties have arrived at a compromise, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned charge sheet pending trial. Accordingly, the impugned charge sheet in S.C.No.199 of 2025, on the file of the learned District Judge, Pudukottai, is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

26.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
cp

7/9



CRL OP(MD). No.16632 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

1. The District Judge, Pudukottai.
2. The Inspector of Police,,
Kottaipattinam Police Station,
Pudukottai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.16632 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN,J

CP

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.16632 of 2025

Date : 26/09/2025