



CRL OP(MD)No.20765 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09.01.2025

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice N.ANAND VENKATESH

CRL OP(MD)No.20765 of 2024

Karuppasamy

... Petitioner / Sole Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu
Represented by the Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Theni District.
(Crime No.23 of 2024)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Sivananthan,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.Seeni Syed Amma,
for M/s.Roy and Roy Associates.

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS Act.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 23 2024 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD)No.20765 of 2024

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Section 406, 420, 294(b) and 506(1) IPC, in Crime No.23 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the husband of the defacto complainant were running finance business. Unfortunately, the husband of the defacto complainant died. The defacto complainant was asking for the share of the amount which has been invested by the husband of the defacto complainant, the same was not given. A compromise was attempted and the same also failed.

3. When the matter was came up for hearing on 28.11.2024, this Court considering the nature of transactions between the parties, referred the parties to Mediation. The Mediation report has been sent to this Court stating that there was no agreement reached.

4. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the material records of the case.



CRL OP(MD)No.20765 of 2024

WEB COPY

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that the petitioner had the opportunity to settle the dispute with the defacto complainant, since the defacto complainant has now lost her husband and the petitioner could have produced all the relevant documents and the petitioner is not willing to reach any settlement in this case, this Court finds the attitude and the conduct of the petitioner untenable. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. In the result, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-

09/01/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/01/2025

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

DAS

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
THENI DISTRICT.

2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.T.SEENI SYED AMMA, Advocate (SR-378[I] dated 10/01/2025)



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD)No.20765 of 2024

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.20765 of 2024
Date :09/01/2025

SS/SKN/SAR- /28/01/2025/ 4P/4C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023