



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.16067 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 08.12.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.16067 of 2025

C.Duraimurugan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Nagamalai Puthukottai Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.144 of 2025)

2.Muruganantham

... Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharathiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to call for the records pertaining to the
FIR in Crime No.144 of 2025 dated 25.05.2025 on the file of the first
respondent and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Aswin Raja Simman

For R1 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate

For R2 : Mr.G.Hariharan



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.16067 of 2025

ORDER

Seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.144 of 2025 dated 25.05.2025 on the file of the first respondent, registered against the petitioner for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 232(1) and 351(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, this Criminal Original Petition has been filed.

2. The gist of the allegations is that there was a prior dispute between the second respondent/defacto complainant and one Ashokraj with regard to the complaint given by the second respondent against the said Ashokraj for demanding a bribe; that the petitioner, who is a supporter of the said Ashokraj, abused the second respondent/defacto complainant and his workers in filthy language and threatened them with dire consequences.

3. Admittedly, the petitioner and the second respondent/defacto complainant belong to the same village, and they are neighbours. The parties have arrived at a compromise, and a joint compromise memo dated 21.06.2025 has been filed.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.16067 of 2025

4. The petitioner, namely, C.Duraimurugan [Aadhaar No.4893 3017 4942], and the second respondent/defacto complainant, namely, Muruganantham [Aadhaar No.2305 7730 1863], are present in person before this Court. They are identified by Mr.P.Vijayakumar, Head Constable, Nagamalai Puthukottai Police Station. The parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them. The second respondent/defacto complainant has stated that he does not wish to pursue the complaint.

5. In view of the above, and considering the nature of the offences, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned FIR pending for investigation. Therefore, the impugned FIR is quashed. The joint compromise memo filed by the parties shall form part and parcel of this order.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed.

08.12.2025

JEN

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

Speaking Order / Non-Speaking Order



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.16067 of 2025

Copy To:

WEB COPY

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Nagamalai Puthukottai Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.16067 of 2025

L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

JEN

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.16067 of 2025

08.12.2025