



Crl.O.P(MD)No.20802 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 21.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.20802 of 2024

Rajendran

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Elayirampennai Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.

3.Ramar

4.The Tahsildar,
Sattur Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.

5.The Surveyor,
Sattur Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.

... Respondents

(R-4 & R-5 are suo motu impleaded as per order of the Court, dated 28.11.2024 in Crl.O.P(MD)No.20802 of 2024)



Crl.O.P(MD)No.20802 of 2024

WEB COPY

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of B.N.S.S., 2023, to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to provide adequate police protection to the petitioner and his children and family members of the petitioner to protect their life and limb and to ensure smooth and peaceful enjoyment of the land comprised in S.No.278/2A1, 4A situated at Chenthilathipuram, Karisalpatti, Virudhunagar District based on the representation made by the petitioner, dated 17.09.2024 and pass such other orders as this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Mariappan

For R-1, R-2,
R-4 & R-5 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R-3 : Mr.S.Selvakrishnan

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to provide adequate police protection to the petitioner and his children and family members of the petitioner to protect their life and limb and to ensure smooth and peaceful enjoyment of the land comprised in S.No.278/2A1, 4A



Crl.O.P(MD)No.20802 of 2024

WEB COPY

situated at Chenthilathipuram, Karisalpatti, Virudhunagar District based on the representation made by the petitioner, dated 17.09.2024.

2. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the official respondents would submit that the representation of the petitioner is under consideration. They will conduct enquiry and dispose of the same within 15 days.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the third respondent would submit that there is a dispute between the parties in respect of the property. Therefore, for the disputed property police protection cannot be granted.

4. The prayer of the petitioner is to consider the representation for the police protection and the same is under consideration by the second respondent, therefore, it is for the second respondent to consider the same and dispose after affording opportunity to both the parties.

5. In view of the same, this Court directs the second respondent to dispose of the petitioner's representation, dated 17.09.2024 within 15 days after



Crl.O.P(MD)No.20802 of 2024

WEB COPY

affording opportunity to both the parties in accordance with law. Accordingly,
this Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

21.03.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
BTR

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Elayirampannai Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
- 3.The Tahsildar,
Sattur Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.
- 4.The Surveyor,
Sattur Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.
- 5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P(MD)No.20802 of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

BTR

CrI.O.P(MD)No.20802 of 2024

21.03.2025