



W.P.(MD).No.25051 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 01.12.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

W.P(MD)No.25051 of 2025

Salma Begam

...Petitioner

Vs

1.The General Manager- LPG
HPCL (South Zone)
Thalamuthu Natarajan Building,
8 Gandhi – Irwin Road,
Chennai – 600 008.

2. The Deputy General Manager
(LPG-Distribution)
HPCL (South Zone)
Thalamuthu Natarajan Building,
8 Gandhi – Irwin Road,
Chennai – 600 008.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's bid with corrected pricing at L1 rate (-5 percent on base rate) for Tender No.2400019406-HD-09050 dated 22.04.2025 BULK LPG TRANSPORTATION TAMILNADU 2025-30 and direct the respondents to process the petitioner's corrected bid in accordance with law and principles of natural justice.

1/5



W.P.(MD).No.25051 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.A.C.Namburaj

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sridhar
Standing Counsel

ORDER

The present Writ Petition has been filed seeking a Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the request of the petitioner to correct the bid pricing at L1 rate (-5 percent on base rate) for Tender.

2. A perusal of the records reveal that the petitioner has submitted her bid on 22.04.2025. At the time of submission, the petitioner has selected 0% as bid price, which represents L1 rate of the contract.

3. According to the petitioner, this has happened due to inexperience of the computer operator engaged by the consultant, and this was purely an unintended mistake due to operator's inexperience.

4. Thereafter, the petitioner has submitted a representation on 09.09.2025 seeking to correct her mistakes and to allow her to match the L1 rate of -5% on the base price before issuing letter of intent. Citing this letter,



W.P.(MD).No.25051 of 2025

the bid of the petitioner has been disqualified and it was not opened. Hence, the petitioner filed the present Writ Petition.

5. The learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents brought to the notice of this Court Clause 3.7 in the tender notification, which is extracted as follows:

“Tenderer must not resort to unsolicited revision of Price Bid. Any type pf unsolicited revision will disqualify the tenderer. Hence based on the above conditions the “Price Revision as per the petitioners’ Request cannot be entertained.”

6. Admittedly, the petitioner has quoted erroneous price at the time of submitting Bid and after noticing the same submitted a representation dated 09.09.2025 to revise her Bid. Therefore, as per the tender conditions, the petitioner has got herself disqualified. In such circumstances, a Mandamus cannot be issued as against the authorities to reconsider the Price Bid.



W.P.(MD).No.25051 of 2025

7. With the above said observation, this Writ Petition stands dismissed.

There shall be no order as to costs.

01.12.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
ebsi

To

1. The General Manager,
HPCL (South Zone)
Thalamuthu Natarajan Building,
8 Gandhi – Irwin Road,
Chennai – 600 008.

2. The Deputy General Manager
(LPG-Distribution)
Thalamuthu Natarajan Building,
8 Gandhi – Irwin Road,
Chennai – 600 008.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD).No.25051 of 2025

R.VIJAYAKUMAR,J.

ebsi

W.P(MD)No.25051 of 2025

01.12.2025