



CRL OP(MD). No.15315 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **16.09.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) NO. 15315 of 2025

1.Muthukumar

2.Kannan

3.Dhanalakshmi

4.Ramasamy

..Petitioners/A1 to A4

Vs

State Of Tamilnadu,

Rep By The Sub Inspector Of Police,
All Women Police Station-vadamadurai,
Dindigul District.

(Crime No.14 of 2023)

Respondent (s)

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr.K.C.Maniyarasu

For Respondent(s) :


Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.14

1/8



CRL OP(MD). No.15315 of 2025


of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 294(b), 323, 109 and 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.14 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the first petitioner is the husband of the defacto complainant. The second and third petitioners are in-law of the defacto complainant. The fourth petitioner is the grand father of the first petitioner. The marriage between the first petitioner and the defacto complainant was solemnized on 24.02.2021. After the marriage, the first petitioner consumed alcohol daily and abused the defacto complainant in filthy



CRL OP(MD). No.15315 of 2025

WEB COPY

language. The petitioners 2 to 4 are also supporting the first petitioner. The first petitioner got illegal relationship with the women travelling in the bus. The first petitioner is the driver of the bus. When the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, the petitioners driver out the defacto complainant from the matrimonial home. Hence, a case was registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He, however, submitted that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.




CRL OP(MD). No.15315 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that it is a matrimonial dispute and the most of the investigation might have been completed. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence, and also taking note of the fact that the most of the investigation might have been completed, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Veda sandur, on condition that the petitioners



CRL OP(MD). No.15315 of 2025

shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-

WEB COPY

(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with single surety for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur. In the event of any change in their residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur;




CRL OP(MD). No.15315 of 2025

WEB COPY (c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005)*



CRL OP(MD). No.15315 of 2025

AIR SCW 5560] and;

WEB COPY

(g) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

16.09.2025

vsg

To

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur.

2. The Sub Inspector Of Police,
All Women Police Station-vadamadurai,
Dindigul District

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.15315 of 2025

S. SRIMATHY. J. ,

vsg

Cr1.O.P. (MD) .No.15315 of 2025

Date : 16.09.2025