



Rev.Aplc(MD).No.258 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated 28.11.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

REV.APLC(MD)No.258 of 2025
and CMP(MD).No.19365 of 2025

Thangamani

....Review petitioner

Vs

S.Meenakshi

....Respondent

Prayer:- Review Application filed under Order XLVII Rule 1 & 2 r/w Sec. 114 of CPC to review and reconsider the order passed in CRP(PD) (MD).No.1453 of 2025 dated 30.04.2025 to give an opportunity to submit the true facts and keep the true records on the file of this Court.

For Appellant : Mr.V.Ravi

For Respondent :Mr.N.Marimuthu
For Mr.A.Chandrakumar



Rev.Aplc(MD).No.258 of 2025

WEB COPY

JUDGMENT

The review applicant is a tenant of the respondent premises. Earlier on the allegation that the landlord is attempting to evict him by force, the tenant has preferred O.S.No.322 of 2017 on the file of the Additional District Munsif Court, Dindigul which is pending as on today.

2.While the suit was pending, the landlord has preferred RLTOP.No.14 of 2021 before the Rent Controller/Principal District Munsif Court, Dindigul seeking eviction of tenant. The order of eviction was passed on 18.10.2023. Challenging the same, the tenant has preferred RLTA.No.1 of 2024 before the Appellate Rent Tribunal/Principal Sub Court, Dindigul. Alleging that the Appellate Tribunal has not expeditiously taken up the matter, the landlord has preferred CRP(MD).No.1453 of 2025 seeking disposal expeditious of the appeal. This Court by an order dated 30.04.2025 has directed the Appellate Authority to dispose of the appeal on or before 30.11.2025. Seeking to review the said order, the present review application has been filed.



Rev.Aplc(MD).No.258 of 2025

3. According to the learned counsel for the review applicant, the revision petition has been filed by the landlord based upon the erroneous submission and therefore, the review application has to be entertained.

4. There is no necessity by this Court to entertain the review application. It is for the Appellate Authority to decide the issue on merits. Therefore, the review application stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

28.11.2025

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
NCC : Yes/No
msa

To

1. The Rent Controller/Principal District Munsif Court, Dindigul
2. The Appellate Rent Tribunal/Principal Sub Court, Dindigul.



WEB COPY



Rev.Aplc(MD).No.258 of 2025

R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

msa

REV.APLC(MD)No.258 of 2025
and CMP(MD).No.19365 of 2025

28.11.2025