



CRL OP(MD). No.15016 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16.10.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) . No.15016 of 2025

Surendranpraveen

... Petitioner/
Accused No.1

Vs

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thiruvadanai,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.5 of 2025)

2.Iswarya

... Respondents

(R2 is impleaded as per order
of this Court dated 18.09.2025
in Crl.M.P.(MD).No.12641 of 2025)

For Petitioner: Mr.P.Muthusamy

For Respondent: Mrs.M.Aasha,
Government Advocate(Crl. Side)

For Intervener: Mrs.S.Mahalakshmi



CRL OP(MD). No.15016 of 2025

WEB COPY

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482
of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.5 of
2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A1, who apprehend arrest at
the hands of the respondent police for the
offences punishable under Sections 85, 316(2) and
82(1) of BNS, in Crime No.5 of 2025, on the file
of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory
bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the
petitioner and the defacto complainant were loved
each other and married. After that, the defacto
complainant and the petitioner were living at
Thiruppur. Out of their wedlock, a male child was
born. Due to the defacto complainant's illness,



CRL OP(MD). No.15016 of 2025

he returned to her native place. At that time, the petitioner went to job at Kalaiyarkovil and he was having a friendship with one vinitha and her parents were threatened to the petitioner. Therefore, he went to Tiruppur and working as labour. But, he has not sent money to the defacto complainant and he was lived with some other women. Hence, a case was registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He, however, submitted that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the intervener submitted that the accused earlier



CRL OP(MD). No.15016 of 2025

married young girl when he was below 18 years of age. He murdered the said girl and is facing a criminal case. Subsequently, he married the present defacto complainant. While the marriage was still subsisting, he married the second accused. She further submitted that his antecedents and conduct towards women is not proper.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that the petitioner is facing criminal case. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and the petitioner is facing criminal case and his antecedents and conduct towards the women is not proper, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.15016 of 2025

WEB COPY 7. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

The interim order already granted by this Court is vacated.

(S S Y J)
16.10.2025

vsg

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thiruvadanai,
Ramanathapuram District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.15016 of 2025

S. SRIMATHY, J.

Vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.15016 of 2025

16.10.2025