



W.P.(MD)No.19661 of 2017

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.09.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

W.P.(MD)No.19661 of 2017

G.Balamurugan

... Petitioner

/Vs./

1.The Accountant General,
Office of the Accountant General,
Annasalai,
Chennai

2.The Sub Collector,
Sivakasi,
Virudhunagar District.

3.The Tahsildar,
Rajapalayam,
Virudhunagar District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the proceedings in Na.Ka.No.B1/4877 dated 17.02.2017 on the file of the third respondent culminating into order passed by the first respondent in his proceedings in PO1/101158155/3/PPO.No.R0115855 dated 31.03.2017 and quash both the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondents to refix the petitioner's pay as per the proceedings of the second respondent in Mu.Mu.A3/6224/2014 dated 07.01.2015 and



W.P.(MD)No.19661 of 2017

WEB COPY

as per proceedings of the third respondent in Mu.Mu.A2/1365/2011 dated 28.07.2011 and further direct the respondents to refund Rs.2,61,844 to the petitioner herein.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Samuel Gunasingh
For R2 & R3 : Mr.V.Omprakash
Government Advocate

ORDER

The present writ petition has been filed by a retired Deputy Tahsildar challenging the order passed by the third respondent dated 17.02.2017 wherein pay scale of the petitioner has been revised and an order of recovery has already been passed.

2. According to the learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner, the said order has been passed without providing any opportunity to the writ petitioner. A perusal of the impugned order also confirms the same.

3. In view of the above stated facts, without going into the merits of the averments in the writ petition, the orders impugned in this writ petition are set aside and the matter is remanded back to the file of the



W.P.(MD)No.19661 of 2017

WEB COPY

third respondent. The third respondent is directed to issue show cause notice to the writ petitioner. The writ petitioner is directed to submit his explanation within a period of four weeks from the receipt of the show cause notice. After considering the contentions raised by the writ petitioner in his explanation, the third respondent is directed to pass fresh orders.

4. With the above directions, this writ petition is allowed. No costs.

12.09.2025

Index : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
CM



W.P.(MD)No.19661 of 2017

WEB COPY

TO:

1.The Accountant General,
Office of the Accountant General,
Annasalai,
Chennai

2.The Sub Collector,
Sivakasi,
Virudhunagar District.

3.The Tahsildar,
Rajapalayam,
Virudhunagar District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.19661 of 2017

R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

CM

Order made in
W.P.(MD)No.19661 of 2017

Dated:

12.09.2025