



CRL OP(MD). No.14862 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16.10.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) . No.14862 of 2025

1.Umarani

2.Ravikumar

... Petitioners/
Accused Nos.1 & 2

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Chinnamanur Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.370 of 2025)

... Respondent/
Complainant

For Petitioner: Mr.D.S.Haroon Rasheed

For Respondent: Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For Intervener: Mr.G.Sarath Kumar

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482
of BNSS



CRL OP(MD). No.14862 of 2025

WEB COPY

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.370 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 and A2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 194 of BNSS @ 108 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.370 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the wife of the deceased. The deceased was working as an Appraiser at Indian Bank, Chinnamanur Branch. While so, in the month of January 2024, he was accused of pledging fake gold jewellery in the Bank and was directed to reimburse the entire amount to the Bank to

2/8



CRL OP(MD). No.14862 of 2025

avoid prosecution. Thereafter, the deceased had borrowed several amounts from several people. Subsequently, he had also started a Baby Diaper business and he had obtained loan. Due to loss occurred in the business, he failed to repay the loan amount. Thereafter, the deceased had committed suicide on 17.08.2025. Hence, a case was registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He, however, submitted that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that there are no previous cases



CRL OP(MD). No.14862 of 2025

registered against the petitioners. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and since the petitioners have complied with the conditions imposed in the interim anticipatory bail regularly, the interim anticipatory bail already granted is made absolute and this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-



CRL OP(MD). No.14862 of 2025

(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam. In the event of any change in his residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam;



CRL OP(MD). No.14862 of 2025

WEB COPY (c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005)*



CRL OP(MD). No.14862 of 2025

AIR SCW 5560] and;

WEB COPY (g) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

(S S Y J)
16.10.2025

vsg

To

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate,
Uthamapalayam.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Chinnamanur Police Station,
Theni District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.14862 of 2025

S. SRIMATHY, J.

Vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.14862 of 2025

16.10.2025