



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15015 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 11.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.15015 of 2025

1.Selvarani

2.Keerthana

... Petitioners/Accused 1 & 2

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. through the Inspector of Police,
Keenikkarai Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.193/2022)

... 1st respondent/Complainant

2.Gokilarani

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records of the Impugned Charge Sheet in C.C.No.228 of 2022 on the file of learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Ramanathapuram and quash the same as illegal.


For Petitioners : Mr.S.Manojkumar

For R-1 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R-2 : Mr.M.Karthick

ORDER

The petitioners seek to quash the final report in C.C.No.228 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram, which was filed for the offences under Section 294(b), 324 and 355 of IPC.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15015 of 2025

2. The allegation in the final report is that the petitioners engaged in wordy quarrel with the defacto complainant, abused her in filthy language and assaulted her and thus committed the aforesaid offences.

3. Due to the intervention and advice of the elders, the petitioners and defacto complainant have now entered into a compromise and decided to give a quietus to the entire dispute. The parties have filed a joint compromise memo dated 3rd September 2025 and the scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:


IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE MADRAS AT
MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)
Crl.O.P. (MD) No. 15015 of 2025
-In -
C.C No: 228 of 2022

(On the file of judicial Magistrate- II, Ramanathapuram)

1. Selvarani (50/25),
w/o. Velusamy,
No.2/9, Lashmipuramesthira Street,
Ramanthapuram,
Velipattanam,
Ramanathapuram District.
2. Keerthana (29/25)
D/o. Velusamy
No. 5/B/10
Laxshimpuram Street,
Ramanathapuram District. **Petitioner / Accused No. 1 & 2**
-Vs-
3. State of Tamil Nadu
representation through the
Inspector of Police,
Keenikkarai Police station.
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime. No:193/2022) ... **Respondent/ Complainant**
4. Gokilarani
W/o. Sundram,
No. 100, Therku Street,
Keelakottai,
Pramakudi.
Ramanathapuram District
... **Respondent/ Defacto Complainant**

V. गुरुल्ल कुरुण्णु
V. Keerthana
Petitioners

കേ. നീലകണ്ഠനാഥ്
Respondent



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15015 of 2025

JOINT MEMO FOR COMPROMISE

1. It is submitted that the Petitioner is preferred this memorandum of criminal original petition for quash and praying that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to call for the records of the records Impugned Charge Sheet in C.C.No. 228 of 2022 on the file of judicial Magistrate- II, Ramanathapuram and quash the same as illegal. Since the Petitioner and the Respondent No.2 were entered into compromise and settled their disputes with amicably before their elders and family, further the Respondent No.2 is not willing to pursue the case against the petitioner.
2. It is submitted that the Respondent No.1 has registered a case in Crime No.193 of 2022 U/s. 294(b), 324, 355 of IPC against the petitioners based on the complaint given by the Respondent No.2. Thereafter charges were framed on the file of the file of judicial Magistrate- II, Ramanathapuram in C.C.No. 228 of 2022.
3. It is submitted that the allegation of the Prosecution case is the defacto complaint namely Gokilarani residing at the aforesaid address and doing coolie work. The accused persons on 07.03.2022 questioning their husband and father to the defacto complainant.

V. Arumma

Petitioners

Gokilarani

Respondent No.2



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15015 of 2025

WEB COPY

At the time there is was wordy quarrel and assaulted the defacto complainant by abusing her with filthy language and defacto complainant got injured. In this regard the defacto complaint lodged a complaint before the Respondent No.1 in Crime No. 193 of 2022 U/s. 294(b), 324, 355 of IPC on 07.03.2022.

4. It is submitted that the allegations of the entire prosecution case is false, originally the Petitioners are innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Further the petitioner No.1 and defacto complaint had quarrel regarding the money dispute and there was wordy quarrel between them. It is pertinent to point out here that no occurrence has been made out on the date and time as alleged in the F.I.R.

5. It is submitted that while the matter is being so the Petitioner and the defacto complainant both are offered compromise and settled their issue before their elders and relatives and the Petitioner is offered forgiveness to the Defacto Complainant to his sins and the same was accepted by the Defacto Complainant and he decided to not willing to pursue this case against the petitioner and entered an compromise memo respectively. It is pertinent to point out here that no occurrence has been made out on the date and time as alleged in the F.I.R.

V. Thiruma
Petitioners

Respondent No.2



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15015 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. It is submitted that while the matter is being so the Petitioner and the defacto complainant both are offered compromise and settled their issue before their elders and relatives and the Petitioner is offered forgiveness to the Defacto Complainant to her sins and the same was accepted by the Defacto Complainant and he decided to not willing to purse this case against the petitioner and entered an compromise memo respectively

Therefore it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to record this compromise memo and pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstance of the case and thus render Justice.

Dated at Madurai on this 3rd day of September 2025

V. G. S. S. S. S.

V. K. Rama

Petitioners

G. K. S. S. S. S.


Respondent No.2

S.

Counsel For Petitioners

M. K. S. S. S. S.

Counsel For Respondent




Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15015 of 2025

4. The petitioners, namely, Selvarani (Aadhaar No.9395 6829 5951), Keerthana (Aadhaar No.6953 3854 9774) and the second respondent, namely, Gokilarani (Aadhaar No.9627 6672 2670) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Mr.M.Arul, Sub Inspector of Police, Kenikarai Police Station, Ramanathapuram District. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

5. Considering the nature of the offences and the compromise arrived between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned final report pending trial. Accordingly, the impugned final report in C.C.No.228 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

11.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
Lm



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15015 of 2025

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The Judicial Magistrate II,
Ramanathapuram District.
 - 2.The Inspector of Police,
Keenikkarai Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
 - 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15015 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Lm

Crl.O.P(MD).No.15015 of 2025

11.09.2025