



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **06.01.2025**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE N.MALA

W.P(MD)NO.27082 OF 2024

and

W.M.P(MD)No.23635 of 2024

Srinivasan

: Petitioner

.VS.

- 1.The District Collector,
Sivagangai District.
- 2.The District Revenue Officer,
Thiruppathur Taluk,
Sivagangai District.
- 3.The Revenue Divisional officer,
Thiruppathur Taluk,
Sivagangai District.
- 4.The Village Administrative Officer,
Thiruppathur Taluk,
Sivagangai District.

:Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the third respondent to set aside the suo-moto order passed by the fourth respondent in cancelling the order passed by the fourth respondent itself in proceedings in DR.2022/0103/23/14048TR, dated 12.4.2022 and subsequently, reinstate the patta No.988 relating to S.No.177/3 of Kottaiyiruppu Village, Thiruppathur Taluk, Sivagangai.



2

For Petitioner :Mr.M.S.Senthilkumar

For Respondents :Mr.M.Muthumanikkam
1 to 4 Government Advocate

ORDER

The Petitioner filed the above Writ Petition for a mandamus directing the third respondent to set aside the suo moto order passed by the fourth respondent in cancelling the order passed by the fourth respondent itself in proceedings in DR. 2022/0103/23/14048TR, dated 12.4.2022 and subsequently, reinstate the patta No.988 relating to s.No.177/3 of Kottaiyiruppu Village, Thiruppathur Taluk, Sivagangai.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed before this Court. With the consent of the parties, the main Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal.

3.Aggrieved by the cancellation of patta issued in his favour in patta No.988 by the fourth respondent, the Petitioner submitted a representation to the third respondent to set aside the suo motu order of the fourth respondent ,cancelling the patta issued in his favour in patta No.988.



4.The property in S.No.177/3A to an extent of 2 hectares and 17 ares at Kottaiyiruppu Village, originally belonged to the Petitioner's great grand father Late Veeranan.The said Veeranan died leaving behind his sons Narayanan, Chidambaram and Chinnapayal @ Veeranan as his legal heirs. Late Veeranan, Chidambaram had only one female legal heir and so it was decided by all the legal heirs that the marriage expenses of the sole female legal heir would be borne by Narayanan and Chinnapayal @ Veeranan. On the basis of the said decision, the said Narayanan and Chinnapayal @ Veeranan entered into a registered partition deed on 16.02.2017. As the names of some of the legal heirs of Narayanan as well as the son of Chinnapayal @ Veeranan were left out in the joint partta issued for the subject lands, the Petitioner submitted a representation to the fourth respondent with relevant documents for inclusion of the left out names. After due enquiry, the fourth respondent by his order, dated 12.4.2022, included the name of the Petitioner and Manickam as the legal heirs of Suriyakone and Mayandi in new Patta No.988 instead of the old Patta No.722. When the Petitioner made arrangements for partition of the said property, among the present legal heirs, he found that the new patta No.988 was illegally cancelled and the old patta No.722 was restored. The Petitioner, therefore, filed a detailed representation to the respondents 1 to 3 cancel the suo



<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



of. No costs Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

WEB COPY

06.01.2025

NSC :Yes/No
Index:Yes/No
Internet:Yes/No

vsn

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Sivagangai District.
- 2.The District Revenue Officer,
Thiruppathur Taluk,
Sivagangai District.
- 3.The Revenue Divisional officer,
Thiruppathur Taluk,
Sivagangai District.
- 4.The Village Administrative Officer,
Thiruppathur Taluk,
Sivagangai District.



WEB COPY



6

N.MALA, J.

vsn

ORDER MADE IN
W.P(MD)NO.27082 OF 2024
and
W.M.P(MD)No.23635 of 2024

06.012025