



CRL OP(MD). No.14671 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **08.09.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) NO. 14671 of 2025

S.S.Saranam Durai @ Sankaranarayanan
..Petitioner/Accused No.2

Vs

State Of Tamilnadu,
Rep By The Inspector Of Police,
Tirunelveli Junction Police Station,
Tirunelveli City.
(Crime No.129 of 2024)

Respondent (s)

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr.K.Suyambulingabharathi


For Respondent(s) :

Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.129 of 2024
on the file of the Respondent Police.




CRL OP(MD). No.14671 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A2, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 468 and 471 of IPC, in Crime No.129 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant Ramanathan, who is working as Junior Engineer attached to the Centre Office, Engineer Section, Tirunelveli Corporation at Tirunelveli, who lodged a complaint that the Tirunelveli Corporation had invited tender application from the contractors for laying road in 35 wards in their corporation limit. For which, the petitioner's company made an application through online with necessary documents for participating in the tender



CRL OP(MD). No.14671 of 2025

process. After due verification, it came to know that the petitioner's company fabricated the vehicle's registration certificate as if the petitioner's company is owner of the vehicle in order to get contract work in their favour. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He, however, submitted that the petitioner is willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.


4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that there are no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.14671 of 2025

WEB COPY 5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence, and also taking note of the fact that there are no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Tirunelveli, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned



CRL OP(MD). No.14671 of 2025

Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Tirunelveli, failing
which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall
stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall
affix their photographs and left thumb impression
in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain
a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to
ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall furnish his
residential address and mobile number to the
learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Tirunelveli.
In the event of any change in his residential
address, the petitioner shall report the same to
the learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV,
Tirunelveli;



CRL OP(MD). No.14671 of 2025

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation;

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;



CRL OP(MD). No.14671 of 2025

WEB COPY (g) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

08.09.2025

vsg

To

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV,
Tirunelveli.

2. The Inspector Of Police,
Tirunelveli Junction Police Station,
Tirunelveli City.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.14671 of 2025

S. SRIMATHY. J. ,

vsg

Cr1.O.P. (MD) .No.14671 of 2025

Date : 08.09.2025