



WP(MD). No.1274 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 09.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE Mrs.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI

WP CrI. (MD). No.1274 of 2025

Kamalarajan

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Secretary to Government,,
Home, Department (Prison),
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Secretariat,
St. George Fort,
Chennai 9..

2. The Deputy Inspector General of Prisons,,
Madurai Range,
Madurai..

3. The Superintendent of Prison,,
Central Prison,
Madurai..

4. The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District..

5. The Inspector of Police,
East Police Station,
Virudhunagar District..

... Respondents



WP(MD). No.1274 of 2025

WEB COPY

PRAYER :-Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 2nd and 3rd respondents for 28 days ordinary leave without escort to the petitioners brother namely Vijay, S/o.Pandi, Convict Prisoner (C.P. No. 5552, PID No. 26865) now confined under Central Prison, Madurai for the purpose of his mother's medical illness by considering the petitioner's representation dated 04.07.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Srikanth
For Respondents : Mr.B.Nambiselvan
Addl. Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by P.VELMURUGAN,J.)

The writ petition has been filed for a writ of mandamus to direct the 2nd and 3rd respondents for 28 days ordinary leave without escort to the petitioners brother namely Vijay, S/o.Pandi, Convict Prisoner (C.P. No. 5552, PID No.26865) now confined under Central Prison, Madurai for the purpose of his mother's medical illness by considering the petitioner's representation dated 04.07.2025.

2. By consent of both sides, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2/5



WP(MD). No.1274 of 2025

3. When the writ petition is taken up for hearing, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the representation of the petitioner has been considered and the respondent has rejected the same on 29.07.2025.


4. In view of the above, since the 2nd respondent has already considered and rejected the representation of the petitioner seeking leave for seven days on 29.07.2025, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. However, the petitioner is at liberty to work out her remedy in the manner known to law, if she is aggrieved by the rejection order.

[P.V.,J]

[L.V.G.,J]

09.09.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
RR



WP(MD). No.1274 of 2025

To

1. The Secretary to Government,,
Home, Department (Prison),
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Secretariat,
St. George Fort,
Chennai 9..

2. The Deputy Inspector General of Prisons,,
Madurai Range,
Madurai..

3. The Superintendent of Prison,,
Central Prison,
Madurai..

4. The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District..

5. The Inspector of Police,
East Police Station,
Virudhunagar District..

6. The Additional Public Prosecutor
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court
Madurai.



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.1274 of 2025

P.VELMURUGAN, J.
AND
L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

RR

ORDER
IN
WP CRL.(MD) No.1274 of 2025

Date : 09.09.2025