



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14572 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 11.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14572 of 2025

and

Crl.M.P(MD) No.11834 of 2025

Mathan Kumar @ Karthik Mathan

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Subramaniyapuram Police Station,
Madurai City,
Madurai.
(Crime No.348/2023).

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Pandimeena

**...2nd Respondent/Defacto
Complainant**

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned Charge Sheet in C.C.No.2351 of 2023 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Madurai and quash the same as illegal as against the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.Palani.M

**For R-1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)**

For R-2 : Mr.M.Silambarasan



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14572 of 2025


ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner seeks to quash the final report in C.C.No.2351 of 2023 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Madurai, which was filed for the offence under Section 448 of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, 2002.

2. The allegation in the final report is that on 12.08.2023, when the defacto complainant was at her house, the petitioner trespassed into her house and threw an egg on the defacto complainant and thus, committed the aforesaid offences.

3. Admittedly, the petitioner and the defacto complainant are close relatives; and that they have now entered into a compromise and decided to give a quietus to the entire dispute. The parties have filed a joint compromise memo dated 09.09.2025 and the scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14572 of 2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE MADRAS AT
MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)**

Crl.O.P (MD) No. 14572 Of 2025

- In -

C.C. No. 2351 of 2023

(On the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Madurai)

1. Mathan Kumar @ Karthik Mathan (M/28/2025),
S/o. Kasi @ Kasimayan,
No. 2 Muthuramalingapuram Main Street,
Paikara, Madurai City,
Madurai. ... Petitioner/Sole Accused

- Vs -

1. The State of Tamilnadu Rep By its.
The Inspector of Police,
Subramaniyapuram Police Station,
Madurai City,
Madurai.
(Crime No. 348 of 2023) ... 1st Respondent/Complainant


2. Pandimeena,
W/o. Rajesh,
Durairaj Complex,
Paikara, Madurai City,
Madurai. ... 2nd Respondent / Defacto Complainant

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO

1. The Petitioner prefers this Memorandum of Criminal Original Petition quash the Impugned charge sheet in C.C. No. 2351 of 2023 Under Sections. 448 of IPC and Sec 4 of TN Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act – 2002 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court Madurai in respect of the Petitioner alone.

K. Karthik Mathan

4



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14572 of 2025

WEB COPY

2

2. The Petitioner further submit that the case of the prosecution that, the 2nd Respondent lodged a complaint before the 1st Respondent in which she stated that during end of Balanagammal Temple festival the Petitioner through egg and drainage water in her head and body. Hence, she lodged a complaint before the 1st Respondent Police and the same is registered in Crime No. 348 of 2023 on 16.08.2023 Under Sections. 448 of IPC and Sec 4 of TN Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act – 2002 as against the Petitioner. There after the 1st Respondent Police investigated the alleged offences and file a final report before the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court Madurai and the same was taken on file in C.C. No. 2351 of 2023 and the same is pending for adjudication.

3. The Petitioner further submit that the 2nd Respondent wrongly understood and intention to lodged a complaint as against the Petitioner, because there was temple festival, end of the temple festival “Manjal Neer Vizha” conducted by the public, which was traditionally followed by the public. The Petitioner without having any intention to through egg to her head. But 2nd Respondent having intention to give this false complaint against the Petitioner for satisfaction of 2nd Respondent. In which the Petitioner is incorporated as accused in the present case. The said case is given for the purpose of self-preservation. So it is clearly reveals that she has given false case against the Petitioner.


4. The Petitioner and the 2nd Respondent further submit that during the pendency of the above said criminal proceeding, at the intervention of the elders of both the families and village elders have sat together, in which an amicable conclusion has been arrived at and as one part of a conclusion, because both are

H.K. Kothakrishnan

e

c

5



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14572 of 2025

3

belong to same area. The 2nd Respondent has agreed to say no objection for the purpose of quashing the present criminal proceeding. Now, the petitioner and the 2nd Respondent are compromised, so the Petitioner and 2nd Respondent voluntarily came forward to have an amicable settlement.

5. The Petitioner as well as the 2nd Respondent jointly submits that such compromise has been taken place purely with an intention to settle the issue among them and it is purely voluntary by the parties concerned. Hence, in order to avoid further ordeal of trial before the concerned Court, they are preferred to be present joint compromise memo before this Hon'ble Court whenever it is required. This Hon'ble Court by taking into consideration of the above said aspects with regard to the compromise and in the interest of the parties, can leniently look into the present issue, as such the prior sought for by the Petitioner can be allowed.

It is therefore prayed that the Petitioner as well as the 2nd Respondent jointly prays that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to take into consideration of the present Joint Compromise Memo and quash the charge sheet in C.C. No. 2351 of 2023 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court Madurai and thus render Justice.

K. Kothick Mathan


Petitioner

2nd Respondent/
Defacto Complainant

[Signature]
Counsel for the Petitioner

[Signature]
Counsel for the 2nd Respondent

6



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14572 of 2025

4. The petitioner, namely, Karthickmathan (Aadhaar No.3914 0772 1507) and the second respondent, namely, Pandimeena (Aadhaar No.5433 3851 2476) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Mr.K.Kumar, Special Sub-Inspector of Police, Subramaniyapuram Police Station, Madurai District. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

5. In view of the same and considering the nature of the offences and the relationship between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned final report pending trial. Accordingly, the impugned final report in C.C.No.2351 of 2023 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Madurai District is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

11.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No

Indu



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14572 of 2025

WEB COPY

- To**
- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate,
(Additional Mahila Court), Madurai.
 - 2.The Inspector of Police,
Subramaniyapuram Police Station,
Madurai City, Madurai.
 - 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.14572 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Indu

CrI.O.P(MD).No.14572 of 2025

11.09.2025