



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14791 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 24.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.14791 of 2025

and

Crl.M.P(MD) No.11989 of 2025

1. Balaji
2. Kutty @ Sivagnanaprakasam
3. Balu
4. Anandh
5. Vasanth
6. Nanthapandiyan @ Nandhapandi
7. Prakash @ Alagu

... Petitioners

Vs.

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Melur Sub Division,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No. 222/2025).
- 3.Rajendra Prasath
4. Manickam

...Respondents



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14791 of 2025

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., to call for the records of the impugned in Spl.SC.No.57 of 2025 on the file for the III Additional District Court (PCR), Madurai, dated 06.05.2025 and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Prabhu
For R1 and R2 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor
For R3 and R4 : Mr.S.Senthil Sankara Natha Kumar

ORDER

The petitioners seek to quash the impugned Final Report in Spl.SC.No.57 of 2025 on the file for the III Additional District Court (PCR), Madurai, dated 06.05.2025, which was filed for the offences under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of SC/ST (PoA) Act, 1989.

2. The allegation in the Final Report is that the petitioners, on account of prior enmity, had attacked the defacto complainant and another victim with sticks, abused them in filthy language and also humiliated them on account of their caste.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14791 of 2025

3. Admittedly, the petitioners and the second respondent have now entered into a compromise and decided to give a quietus to the entire dispute.

The parties have filed a joint compromise memo dated 8th September 2025 and the scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS.
MADRAS BENCH
(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)
Crl.O.P.(MD) No: 14791 /2025
Against
Crime No.222 of 2025
(On the file of the 2nd Respondent Police)

1. Balaji, (27/2025)	]
S/o. Ramasamy,	]
No.6/253, Perunkalakudi,	]
Andipatti, Tiruvadavur	]
MelurTaluk,	]
Madurai District	]
2. Kutty @ Sivagnanaprakasam, (23 /2025)	]
S/o. Kumarasan,	]
No.6/255, Perunkalakudi,	]
Andipatti, Tiruvadavur,	]
MelurTaluk,	]
Madurai District	]
3. Balu, (22 /2025)	]
S/o. Baskaran,	]
No.6/306/1, Perunkalakudi,	]
Andipatti, Tiruvadavur	]
MelurTaluk,	]
Madurai District	]
4. Anandh, (22 /2025)	]
S/o. Kallanai,	]
No.6/197, Perunkalakudi,	]
Andipatti, Tiruvadavur	]
MelurTaluk,	]
Madurai District	]
5. Vasanth, (25 /2025)	]
S/o. Kallanai,	]
No.6/197, Perunkalakudi,	]
Andipatti, Tiruvadavur	]
MelurTaluk,	]
Madurai District	]

1. Vasanth M. Vasanth M. Vasanth M. Vasanth M. Vasanth M.
2. Balaji
3. Balu
4. A. Anandh

2 M.L.D. COPIES FILED



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14791 of 2025

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO

The memorandum of Joint compromise entered at Madurai between the Petitioners and the 3rd Respondent on the following terms and conditions:-

1. Whereas a criminal complaint has been given by the 3rd Respondent as against the Petitioners and based on which the FIR in Crime No.222 of 2025 was registered on the file of 2nd Respondent Police for the offences under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS 2023 and Section 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(v) s of SC/ST (POA) Act 1989.
2. Whereas the above complaint was preferred in view of wordy conflict between the Petitioners and the 3rd Respondent at the time of an untoward accident. Presently the entire issue between the 3rd Respondent and the Petitioners are amicably settled and in view of the settlement arrived between the parties and both the parties herein are whole heartedly willing to quash the FIR being registered as against the Petitioners based on the complaint given by the 3rd Respondent.
3. Whereas the 3rd Respondent hereby wholeheartedly accepts for the quashing of the FIR as against the Petitioners and undertake that no further claim of any nature would be made as against the Petitioners.

1. R. Balaji	5. K. Ananth	1. Rajendraprasath
2. K. Srinivasan	6. K. Sundarajan	
3. B. Balu		2. M. Dhanasekhar
4. K. Ananth		



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14791 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. Whereas the parties herein have agreed to the above terms and will adhere to the same in strict sense and thereby put their signature on the date mentioned below.

Dated at Madurai this ^{31st} day of August, 2025

Petitioners

1. ~~K. Ananth~~ K. Ananth
2. P. Balaji
3. B. Balaji

Counsel for the Petitioners



(K. Prabhu)

3rd & 4th Respondent

1. Rajendrapiranthi M

2. M. L. ...

Counsel for the 3rd & 4th Respondent


(Senthil Sakthi)



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14791 of 2025

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor, on instructions, would submit that the defacto complainant and the victim have received Rs.62,500/- each as compensation and they are bound to remit the same to the Aadidravidar Welfare Department.

5. The petitioners, namely, Balaji (Aadhaar No.5066 7747 5960), Sivagnanaprakasam (Aadhaar No.6611 9642 4545), Balu (Aadhaar No.2562 2462 3676), Anandh (Aadhaar No.4340 6996 4065), Vasanth (Aadhaar No. 8734 6620 8030), Nandha Pandiyan (Aadhaar No.3350 0504 4136), Alagu (Aadhaar No.7346 1849 6118), the second respondent, namely, Rajendraprasath (Aadhaar No.4813 1199 7314) and the victim, namely, Manickam (Aadhaar No. 5887 1859 0012) are present before this Court in person and identified by Mr.S.Pitchai, Head Constable, Melur Police Station, Madurai District. The second respondent and the victim confirm the compromise and states that they have no objection for quashing the sessions case.

6. The dispute is private in nature. Considering the nature of the allegations and the compromise arrived at between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the impugned final report pending trial. Accordingly, the impugned final report in Spl.S.C.No.57 of



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14791 of 2025

2025 on the file of the III Additional District Court (PCR), Madurai, is quashed, on condition that the defacto complainant and the victim shall deposit the compensation amount of Rs.62,500/- each, which was received by them to the Aadidraavidar Welfare Department, within a period of six weeks from today, failing which, the order passed by this Court shall lose its efficacy.

7. The petitioners shall pay a additional sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as costs to the High Court Legal Services Authority attached to this Bench forthwith and file a photocopy of the receipt along with a memo reporting compliance in the Registry.

8. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

24.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No

Indu



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14791 of 2025

To

- 1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Melur Sub Division,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14791 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Indu

Crl.O.P(MD).No.14791 of 2025

24.09.2025