



CRL OP(MD).No.14500 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 03/09/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD).No.14500 of 2025

Jambugeswaran

..Petitioner/ Accused

No.2

Vs

State of Tamilnadu

Rep. By the Inspector of Police,

Srirangam Police Station,

Trichy City

(Crime No.1019 of 2024)

.. Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.M.Karunakaran
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.1019 of 2024 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD).No.14500 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER: This Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 191(2), 191(3), 103(2), 109(1), 118(1), 190, 126(2) of BNS @ 296(b), 191(2), 191(3), 103(2), 109(1), 118(1), 190, 126(2) of 61 of BNS in Crime No.1019 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant stated that she resides at the address mentioned and that her husband, Suresh, works as a coolie at Poo Market. Due to past enmity between her husband and one Chandru, who was murdered in 2020 by her husband and his associates. On 22.09.2024, while the de-facto complainant and her husband were visiting a temple, the petitioner and the co-accused allegedly wrongfully restrained them. They verbally abused the deceased using obscene language and assaulted both him and the de-facto complainant with deadly weapons, causing severe injuries. As a result, the deceased collapsed and died on the spot. The de-facto complainant also sustained serious injuries and was admitted to the Government Hospital in Trichy. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an



CRL OP(MD).No.14500 of 2025

innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner was falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seeks bail.

WEB COPY

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the defacto complainant stated that her husband works as a coolie at Poo Market. Due to past enmity between her husband and one Chandru, who was murdered in 2020 by her husband and his associates. On 22.09.2024, while the de-facto complainant and her husband were visiting a temple, the petitioner and the co-accused allegedly wrongfully restrained them. They verbally abused the deceased using obscene language and assaulted both him and the de-facto complainant with deadly weapons, causing severe injuries. As a result, the deceased collapsed and died on the spot. The de-facto complainant also sustained serious injuries and was admitted to the Government Hospital in Trichy. However, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5.Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and also considering the period of incarceration suffered by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:-



WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Srirangam** and on further conditions that :-

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] The petitioner shall furnish her residential address and mobile number to the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Srirangam.**

[c] If the petitioner changes her residential address, he shall report the same to the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Srirangam**

[d] the petitioner shall report **before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Srirangam, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.**

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[g] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD).No.14500 of 2025

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

sd/-
03/09/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/09/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. / II)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

rjr

TO

1 The Judicial Magistrate,
Srirangam

2 Do Through
The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Trichy District.

3 The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Trichy.

4 The the Inspector of Police,
Srirangam Police Station,
Trichy City.

5 The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



CRL OP(MD).No.14500 of 2025

WEB COPY +1 CC to M/s.K.M.KARUNAKARAN, Advocate (SR-9560[I] dated 03/09/2025)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.14500 of 2025
Date :03/09/2025

NM/03.09.2025/ 6P/7C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023