



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATE : 01.09.2025

CORAM

WEB COPY

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN**

Suo Motu Tr.(MD) No.6610 of 2025

(S.T.C.No.31 of 2017 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District)

State Rep. by The Sub Inspector of Police,  
Swaminathapuram Police Station,  
Dindigul District.  
In Cr.No.5 of 2017

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. Raja

2. Sivasakthivel

... Respondents

Upon perusing the documents and case records of the above S.T.C.No.31 of 2017 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District transmitted to this Court and hearing the arguments of ***Mr.S.Ravi, learned Additional Public Prosecutor***, on behalf of the State, this Court passes the following

### **ORDER**

#### **Prelude:**

*“This dedicated bench is for implementing the pilot project to identify and dispose of criminal cases involving offences punishable with imprisonment up to 3 years pending at trial, appeal or revision stage for more than 3 years and also offences like Section 506(ii) of IPC and others , which may carry a punishment of more than 3 years in the State of Tamil Nadu with reference to the 14 Districts*



coming under the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court”.

WEB COPY


2.This Dedicated Bench has taken the S.T.C.No.31 of 2017 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District as *Suo motu* Special Tr.Case.(MD) No.6610 of 2025 in *Suo Motu* W.P.(Crl.)(MD).No.1014 of 2025, upon proper scrutiny and considering its suitability that it is a fit case warranting the exercise of power under 482 of Cr.P.C. to quash the said C.C., which is pending for more than 8 year without any progress.

### **3. Brief facts of the prosecution case:**

The allegation in this case is that on 10.01.2017, accused was in possession of the number of liquor bottles without license and permission and hence the case was registered in Cr.No.5 of 2017 for offence under section 294(b) of IPC r/w 4(1)(j) of TNP Act and final report filed before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District and the same was taken on file S.T.C.No.31 of 2017.

### **4. Discussion:**

The seized quantity is within the permission limit for personal consumption as described under the Tamilnadu Liquor (Possession for Personal Consumption) Rules 1996 and hence this court finds no incriminating circumstances to continue the trial against the accused. Therefore no offence has been made out under the above said penal provisions of the Tamilnadu Prohibition Act. Further this case also pending more than 8 years. Considering the factual and matrix and context, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Therefore this court is



inclined to exercise the inherent power under section 482 of Cr.P.C. to quash proceedings.

WEB COPY

#### **5. Precedent Value:**

The observations, discussions and decision made in this particular case can not be quoted as precedent in the cases arising in future as the decision has been taken in this suomoto writ based on factual and legal basis of this particular case.

#### **6. Conclusion:**

Accordingly, the case in S.T.C.No.31 of 2017 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District is quashed. This Suo Moto Transfer case is disposed of.

**01.09.2025**

sbn

**Note to the Trial Court:** This order is digitally signed and communicated electronically alone. The Trial Court shall take note of the order and accordingly classify the case pending before them as allowed / dismissed / disposed of, etc, and while doing so, consider any applications such as disposal of properties, etc., and pass appropriate orders, as may be necessary. Further, the Court below is directed to dispatch the copy of this order to all concerned.



WEB COPY



**K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN,J.**

sbn

Order made in  
**Suo Motu Tr.(MD) No.6610 of 2025**

**01.09.2025**