



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14447 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 02.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.14447 of 2025

1.Vignesh

2.Ashik

3.Abu @ Subin

4.Saku @ Saku Sutton

... Petitioners/Accused 1 to 4

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu, represented through,
The Inspector of Police,
Thuckalay Police Station,
Thuckalay, Kanniyakumari District.
(Crime No.482/2025)

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Ashik

...2nd Respondent/Defacto
Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records relating to the impugned F.I.R. in Crime No.482 of 2025 on the file of the 1st respondent Police and quash the same in so far as the petitioners are concerned.

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Aravinthan

For R-1 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R-2 : Mr.K.Sivabalan



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14447 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioners seek for quashing of the FIR in Crime No.482 of 2025 on the file of the first respondent for the alleged offences under Section 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023.

2. Admittedly, the petitioners and the second respondent are college students and they have now entered into a compromise and decided to give a quietus to the entire dispute. The parties have filed a joint compromise memo dated 22nd August 2025 and the scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:

12

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)

Crl.O.P.(MD) No. 14447 of 2025

Against

Crime No. 482 of 2025
(On the file of the Respondent Police)

1. Vignesh, (M/19/2025)
S/o. Velappanpillai,
No.3/136, Chettivilai,
Keezhakalkurichi, Thuckalay,
Kalkulam Taluk,
Kanniyakumari District.
2. Ashik. (M/19/2025)
S/o. Rajesh,
No.6/75C1, Ootruparaivilai,
Chithirangodu, Manalikai,
Kalkulam Taluk,
Kanniyakumari District.
3. Abu @ Subin, (M/19/2025)
S/o. Varadharajan,
No.23/8, Mettukadai,
Thuckalay,
Kalkulam Taluk,
Kanniyakumari District.
4. Saku @ Saku Sutton, (M/19/2025)
S/o. Satheesh,
No.13/38A, RC Street,
Vilathurai,
Kappukkadu Post,
Vilavancode Taluk,
Kanniyakumari District.

..... Petitioners /Accused 1 to 4


-Vs-

vignesh P.P.L

Page.No: 1
Corrs: *MD*

Benthur
Crl.S-1132/2022
Joint Petitioners

K. Sivabalan
.. (M.S-3115/2009)
Counsel for 2nd Respondent



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14447 of 2025

WEB COPY 13

1. The State of Tamil Nadu, Represented through,
The Inspector of Police,
Thuckalay Police Station,
Thuckalay,
Kanniyakumari District.
Crime No.482/2025 ... 1st Respondent /Complainant
2. Ashik, (M/19/2025),
S/o. Raja Kumar,
No.5 - 110A/2, Panavilai,
Thuckalay,
Kalkulam Taluk,
Kanniyakumari District. ... 2nd Respondent /Defacto Complainant

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO

1. It is submitted that the Respondent Police had registered a case in Crime No.482 of 2025 for the alleged offences punishable under section 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS against the petitioners.
2. It is submitted that the case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is studying BBA second year in the N.I College, on 21.07.2025 at about 10.00 A.M, while the Maths Lecturer Lakshmi madam has teaching in the class room at that time the maths book is at the table, hence one Pasik who is sitting next to the defacto complainant asked loudly to take and hand over the maths book from the front row students, at that time the 3rd year students the petitioners/accused who all walking on porch and entered into the class room at that time Lakshmi mam intercepted all the petitioners, but the petitioners bushed her and attempted to attack the said Pasik, seeing this defacto complainant questioned with the petitioners/accused, having grugged over it the petitioners/accused

Page.No: 2
Corrs: mllz

Viknesh

[Signature]
Sala

[Signature]
Counsel for petitioners
(M.S-1132/2022)

[Signature]

K. Sivabalan
(M.S-3115/2022)
Counsel for respondent



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14447 of 2025

WEB COPY

14

scolded the defacto complainant with filthy language and assaulted him by bare hands on his head, left cheek and chest and also made life threat, hence the defacto complainant given a complaint to the 1st respondent police and a case has been registered on 21.07.2025 for the alleged offences 296(b), 115(2) and 351 (2) of BNS in Crime No.482 of 2025 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

3. It is submitted that the defacto Complainant and the Petitioners are studying in the very same college and the petitioners are 3rd year students and the defacto complainant is 2nd year student and both are friends over some misunderstanding, a case has been registered and now the matter has been compromised and settled amicably at the intervention of the lecturers, well-wishers and elders of both of the family members. Now the dispute has been compromised and settled. The 2nd respondent did not want to pursue the case further and he wanted to quiet the entire dispute, hence he here by agreed to give no objection to quash the criminal case in Crime No.482 of 2025 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

4. It is submitted that now there is a compromise arrived at between the Petitioners/Accused and 2nd Respondent/defacto Complainant. The defacto Complainant did not want to proceed the case and he had no objection to quash the impugned Crime No.482 of 2025 on the file of the 1st respondent Police. In view of the above said

viknesh

[Signature]

[Signature]


[Signature]

Dhanalakshmi
C.M.S. 1182/2012
Counsel for petitioners

K. Sivakumar
C.M.S. 115/2009

Counsel for 2nd respondent

Page.No: 3
Corrs: nil 2



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14447 of 2025

15
compromise, the Petitioners/Accused and the 2nd Respondent/defacto Complainant had submitted this Joint Compromise Memo in Crime No.482 of 2025.

It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to accept this **JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO** and pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

Dated at Madurai on this 22nd day of August, 2025

Dhanraj Kumar
COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER

Viknesh

[Signature]

[Signature]


[Signature]

[Signature]

K. Sivabalan
(M-3-3115/2009)
Counsel for 2nd respondent.

Page.No: 4
Corrs: Nil

3. The petitioners and the second respondent are present before this Court in person. They are identified by M/s.K.Baubyna Suki, Special Sub Inspector of Police, Thuckalay Police Station, Kanniyakumari District. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14447 of 2025

4. In view of the same and considering the nature of the offences and the relationship between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned FIR pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIR in Crime No.482 of 2025 dated 21.07.2025 is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

02.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
Lm

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Thuckalay Police Station,
Thuckalay,
Kanniyakumari District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14447 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Lm

Crl.O.P(MD).No.14447 of 2025

02.09.2025