



*Suo Motu TR.(MD).No.3631 of 2025*

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATE : 28.08.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN**

Suo Motu TR.(MD).No.3631 of 2025

**(C.C.No.1100775 of 2017 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court  
No.III, Thoothukudi Taluk, Thothukudi)**

The Sub Inspector of Police,  
Pudukottai Police Station,  
Pudukottai.

... Petitioner

Vs.

Kannan

... Respondent

Upon perusing the documents and case records of the above  
**C.C.No.1100775 of 2017** transmitted to this Court and hearing the  
arguments of ***Mr.S.Ravi, learned Additional Public Prosecutor*** on behalf  
of the State and *hearing the concerned probation officer* this Court passes  
the following



Suo Motu TR.(MD).No.3631 of 2025

## **ORDER**

WEB COPY **Prelude:**

*“This dedicated bench is for implementing the pilot project to identify and dispose of criminal cases involving offences punishable with imprisonment up to 3 years pending at trial, appeal or revision stage for more than 3 years and also offences like Section 506(ii) of IPC and others, which may carry a punishment of more than 3 years in the state of Tamil Nadu with reference to the 14 Districts coming under the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court”.*

2. This Dedicated Bench has taken the **C.C.No.1100775 of 2017** on the file of the **Judicial Magistrate Court No.III, Thoothukudi Taluk, Thoothukudi** as **Suo motu Special Tr.Case.(MD) No.3631 of 2025** in **Suo Motu W.P.(CrI.).(MD).No.1014 of 2025**, upon proper scrutiny and suitability as a fit case warranting the exercise of power under Article 226 of Constitution of India to pass suitable order in the said C.C., pending more than **eight years** without any precedent value in this pilot project.



*Suo Motu TR.(MD).No.3631 of 2025*

3. **Brief facts of the prosecution case in C.C.No.1100775 of 2017:**

WEB COPY

The complainant is the Senior Investigator of the Music Anti Piracy Team, Tirunelveli. On 17.09.2013 at about 11.00 a.m., the accused, in his mobile shop, illegally downloaded the songs from the Tamil films by receiving the amount of Rs.50 from the complainant without getting license from the concerned Sangam. Therefore, a case was registered in Crime No.163 of 2013 for the offence punishable under Section 51 r/w 65 Copy Right Act, 1957, and upon completion of investigation, final report filed and the same was taken on file in C.C.No. 1100775 of 2017 and the same was pending without trial for more than eight years.

4. **Discussion:**

4.1 Today, the learned Principal District Judge has appeared through video conference. The accused and the police official were present before the learned Principal District Court. The accused has filed an admission petition and pleaded guilty and seeks leniency in imposing punishment.

4.2. This Court explains the contents of the accusation to the accused, and the accused admits the contents of the admission petition and



*Suo Motu TR.(MD).No.3631 of 2025*

pleads guilty. Thus, this court satisfies the admission petition filed without any coercion or threat.

4.3. In view of the above admission and pleading of guilty of the accused, and considering the material allegation made in the final report did not relate to the economic and heinous offence and his conduct is good and the charged offence is punishable with **imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to three years, or with fine, or with both** and the case is pending for more than **eight years** without trial and the same impinge the fair and speedy trial as envisaged under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, this Court is inclined to admit the petition and convict the accused for the offence under **Section 51 r/w 65 Copy Right Act, 1957 and sentenced to the imprisonment which the accused had already undergone.** There is no bar to initiate the confiscation proceedings under the relevant statutes by following the procedure stated in the relevant Act.

#### **5. Discussion on the question of sentence:-**

The accused is 43 years and he is doing coolie work and he has not involved in further offence and considering the mitigating circumstances, and following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case



*Suo Motu TR.(MD).No.3631 of 2025*

of *Sunita Devi Vs. State of Bihar and another reported in 2014 SCC*

*Online SC 984, 2025 INSC 1014 (K.Ponnammal Vs. State)* and also

taken into account that the accused also regularly appearing before the Court for the past **eight years** and also on the reiterated the principle of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the cases of *M.W.Mohiuddin V. State of Maharashtra reported in (1995) 3 SCC 567* and *B.G.Goswami V. Delhi Administration reported in (1974) 3 SCC 85* that delay itself amounts to punishment, which must weigh in sentencing and disposal, this court is inclined to impose fine of **Rs.1,000/- to the accused** with default sentence of **two months** simple imprisonment.

6. Accordingly, this *Suo Motu Special Tr.(MD).* case stands closed on the following terms:

6.1. The accused are convicted for the offence under **Section 51 r/w 65 Copy Right Act, 1957**, in **C.C.No.1100775 of 2017** on the file of the learned on the file of the **Judicial Magistrate Court No.III, Thoothukudi Taluk, Thoothukudi**, and sentenced to the imprisonment which they had already undergone.

6.2. **The Accused is directed to pay a fine of Rs.1,000/-** before the **Judicial Magistrate Court No.III, Thoothukudi Taluk, Thoothukudi**,



*Suo Motu TR.(MD).No.3631 of 2025*

and in default, they are directed to undergo **two months** simple imprisonment.

6.3.The accused is directed to execute the bond as to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate Court No.III, Thoothukudi Taluk, Thothukudi**, under **Section 4 of the Probation Offenders Act, 1958.**

6.4. The Learned **Judicial Magistrate Court No.III, Thoothukudi Taluk, Thothukudi**, is directed to transmit the fine amount to the District Mines and Minerals Trust Fund, Theni District.

**28.08.2025**

dss

**Note to the Trial Court:** This order is digitally signed and communicated electronically alone. The Trial Court shall take note of the order and accordingly classify the case pending before them as allowed / dismissed / disposed of, etc, and while doing so, consider any applications such as disposal of properties, etc., and pass appropriate orders, as may be necessary. Further, the Court below is directed to dispatch the copy of this order to all concerned.



WEB COPY



*Suo Motu TR.(MD).No.3631 of 2025*

**K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN,J.**

dss

Order made in  
**SUO MOTU Tr.(MD).No.3631 of 2025**

**28.08.2025**