



CRL OP(MD). No.14345 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 08/09/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.14345 of 2025

1. Balamurugavel @ Amaithi Balu
2. Ponkamaraj
3. Vikki @ Vivek
4. Deenadayalan

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu  
Rep.By, the Inspector of Police,  
Thuraiyur Police Station,  
Trichy District.  
(Crime No.835 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.K.Mahendran  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of  
BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.835 of 2025 on the file of  
the Respondent Police.

1/7



CRL OP(MD). No.14345 of 2025


ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners/Accused No.1 to 4, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191 (2), 126 (2), 296 (b), 132, 351 (2) of BNS r/w.4 of Women Harassment Act & Section 3 of PPDL Act in Crime No.835 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and one Hemalatha is also working as Medical Technician, on 24.08.2025 at about 06.50 they are doing duty with the 108 Ambulance, at that time they had received a call from Ambulance Mobile Call Centre at Chennai, in that call it has been directed them to attend a case for one aged persons fainting near the MSK Mahal, Thuraiyur and based on that they went to the Thuraiyur Bus Stand, when reaching the bus stop at that time the accused persons all are restraining the Ambulance by causing the damage with the wooden lock and also speaking in filthy language and some persons pull the Coat suit wearing by Hemalatha and the A1 has uprooting ambulance key from the vehicle. Thereby, a case was registered by the respondent police against the accused persons. Hence,

2/7



the present case.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioners and other accused persons by causing the damage with the wooden lock and attacked the defacto complainant. He further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners and the investigation is almost completed. However, he vehemently opposed to grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the investigation has been almost completed, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain



CRL OP(MD). No.14345 of 2025

conditions.

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thuraiyur, Trichy District, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.14345 of 2025

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.


[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

(S S Y J)  
08.09.2025

msrm

5/7



CRL OP(MD). No.14345 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate,  
Thuraiyur, Trichy District.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
Thuraiyur Police Station,  
Trichy District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.14345 of 2025

**S.SRIMATHY,J**

msrm

**ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD). No.14345 of 2025**

**08.09.2025**