



WP(MD)No.22981 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 11.12.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **B.PUGALENDHI**

WP(MD)No.22981 of 2023
and
WMP(MD)Nos.19208 and 19209 of 2023

A.Raja

Vs

...Petitioner

- 1.The Director General of Police,
Office of the Director General of Police,
No.61, Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai,
Mylapore, Chennai – 600 004.
- 2.The Inspector General of Police,
Office of the Inspector General of Police,
Armed Police, Trichy.
- 3.The Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Office of the Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Armed Police, Kilpauk, Chennai.
- 4.The Commandant,
TSP VI Battalion, Madurai.

...Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of certiorari calling for the entire records connected with the impugned orders passed by the fourth respondent in C.No.PR50/2003, dated 07.04.2004 third respondent in C.No.A2/Appeal.



WP(MD)No.22981 of 2023

30/2004, dated 20.07.2004 and first respondent in RC.No.031256/Ap. 3(1)/2010, dated 20.07.2010 respectively and quash in accordance with law.

For Petitioner : Ms.D.Ramya
For Respondent : Mr.C.Venkateshkumar,
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

The petitioner / a police constable on a charge that he had physical relationship with a maid's daughter in the police quarters, has been imposed with punishment of dismissal from service in PR No.50/2003 on 07.04.2004. As against which the petitioner has preferred an appeal before the 3rd respondent and the appeal was rejected by order dated 20.07.2004. Challenging the same he has filed a review before the 2nd respondent, wherein the punishment was modified as three stages reduction in time scale of pay for three years by order dated 31.012.2004. Thereafter the petitioner after six years has filed a mercy petition before the first respondent in RC.No.031256/AP3/(1)/2010, and it was rejected by order dated 20.07.2010. Challenging the same, the petitioner has filed this writ petition after a period of 13 years on the ground that the victim has not deposed as against the petitioner during the domestic enquiry.



WP(MD)No.22981 of 2023

2.The petitioner has challenged the orders passed in the years 2004 and 2010, by way of this writ petition in the year 2023. The petitioner has not assigned any reason for such a huge delay in challenging the orders. Therefore, on the ground of laches, this court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

11.12.2025

DSK

To

- 1.The Director General of Police,
Office of the Director General of Police,
No.61, Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai,
Mylapore, Chennai – 600 004.
- 2.The Inspector General of Police,
Office of the Inspector General of Police,
Armed Police, Trichy.
- 3.The Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Office of the Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Armed Police, Kilpauk, Chennai.
- 4.The Commandant,
TSP VI Battalion,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



WP(MD)No.22981 of 2023

B.PUGALENDHI.J.,

DSK

WP(MD)No.22981 of 2023

11.12.2025