

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14913 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 17.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14913 of 2025

and

Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.12119 & 12120 of 2025

S. Senthil Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
Crime No.881/2024

2. A. Mohan

... Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records pertaining to the Charge Sheet dated 28.12.2024 in P.R.C.No.19 of 2025 under sections 126(2], 296(b), 109(1], 351(3] of the BNS Act on the file of the Learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Valliyoor, Tirunelveli District which was filed against the Petitioner and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Rajiv Rufus

For R1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Criminal side)

For R2 :Mr.B.Micheal Sebastian



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14913 of 2025


WEB COPY

ORDER

This petition has been filed to quash the Final Report in P.R.C.No.19 of 2025 filed for the offence under sections 126(2], 296(b), 109(1], 351(3] of the BNS Act.

2. The allegation in the final report is that due to previous enmity, the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant with hands and abused him in filthy language and threatened with dire consequences and thus committed the aforesaid offence.

3. In this regard, the petitioner and the second respondent have now entered into a compromise and decided to give a quietus to the entire dispute. The parties have filed a joint compromise memo dated 25th August, 2025 and the scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14913 of 2025

WEB COPY

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE MADRAS AT MADURAI
BENCH**

(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)

Crl.O.P.(MD) No. 14913 Of 2025

In

P.R.C. No. 19 of 2025

In

Crime No. 881 of 2024

(On the file of the 1st Respondent)

S. Senthil Kumar (M/41/2025),
S/o. Sunadar Raj,
Sriramapuram,
Panagudi,
Tirunelveli.

...

Petitioner/ Sole Accused

-Vs-

1. State Rep. by the
Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.

...

Respondent No.1/
Complainant No.1

2. A. Mohan (M/58/2025),
S/o Arumugakan Nadar,
Kovil vilai,
Anna Nagar,
Panagudi,
Tirunelveli District.

...


Respondent No.2/
Defacto Complainant

**JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO EXECUTED BETWEEN THE
PETITIONER/ACCUSED AND 2ND RESPONDENT/DEFACTO
COMPLAINANT**

1.It is respectfully submitted that based on the complaint of the
2nd Respondent a criminal case was registered against the
Petitioner FIR in Crime. No. 881 of 2024 dated 02.11.2024,
under sections 126(2), 296(b), 109(1), 351(3) of the BNS Act,

S. Senthil Kumar

A - @lonkai



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14913 of 2025

WEB COPY


and Section 25(1A) of the Arms Act, 1959 on the file of the 1st Respondent. Further, after completing the investigation the 1st respondent has filed a charge sheet dated 28.12.2024 in P.R.C. No. 19 of 2025 under sections 126(2), 296(b), 109(1), 351(3) of the BNS Act on the file of the Learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Valliyoor, Tirunelveli District.

2. That there was no dispute between the petitioner/Accused and the 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant. Further the 2nd Respondent was inadvertently became the Defacto Complaint in the said case as per the instruction of police. Further they realised that mistake, as the alleged incident did not take place as claimed and settled the same with the help of their elders and well wishers. Since the Petitioner/Accused is a relative of the defacto complainant and they have no personal grudges each other, hence they don't want to pursue the said Complaint and they give their consent at their free will without any coercion to close the above mentioned case.

3. The Petitioner/Accused and the 2nd Respondent have acknowledged the settlement reached between them without any coercion, threat or compulsion.

S. Arun Kumar

A - B L n 800



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14913 of 2025

6

Therefore it is most respectfully prayed that based on the Compromise reached between them, thus this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to quash the charge sheet dated 28.12.2024 in P.R.C. No. 19 of 2025 on the file of the Learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Valliyoor, Tirunelveli District and pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstance of the case and thus render Justice.

Dated at this the 25th day of August, 2025

ச.செந்தில்குமார்

A - 6615501

PETITIONER
(S. Senthil kumar)

2nd RESPONDENT
(A. Mohan)


Counsel for the Petitioner


Counsel the 2nd Respondent



BEFORE ME


ADVOCATE

T. VEERA MOHANA ROY MA, BL
ADVOCATE NOTARY
VALLIOOR - 627117
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14913 of 2025

4. The petitioner and the second respondent are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Ms.G.Sophia, SSI, Panagudi Police Station, Tirunelveli District. Both the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

5. In view of the compromise arrived at between the parties and the nature of allegations, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose will serve in keeping the impugned final report pending in the trial Court. Accordingly, the final report is quashed and this Criminal Original Petition is allowed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

17.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No

PJL

To
1.The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14913 of 2025



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14913 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

PJL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.14913 of 2025

17.09.2025