



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14215 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 04.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.14215 of 2025

1.Suresh

2.Harish

3.Aswin @ Mani

... Petitioners/Accused 1 to 3

Vs.

1.The District Superintendent of Police,
Andipatti,
Theni District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Andipatti Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.208/2025)

... Respondents 1 & 2/Complainant

3.N.Gokul Raja

... 3rd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

4.Nagarani

... 4th Respondent/Victim

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records pertaining to the case registered in Impugned First Information Report in Crime No.208 of 2025 on the file of the 2nd respondent Police and quash the same as illegal.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14215 of 2025

For Petitioners : Mr.B.Arun
For R-1 & R-2 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R-3 & R-4 : Mr.S.Pradeep

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking quashing of the impugned FIR in Crime No.208 of 2025 for the alleged offences under Section 191(2), 329(4), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS, 2023, Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, Section 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) and 3(2)(va) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

2. The allegation in the FIR is that suspecting involvement of the defacto complainant in the missing of a girl in the petitioners' family, they abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, humiliated him and attacked him and thus committed the aforesaid offences. Pending investigation, the petitioners and the defacto complainant entered into a compromise and had filed a joint compromise memo before this Court.

3. The scanned copy of the joint compromise memo reads as follows:



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14215 of 2025

WEB COPY/

MEMORANDUM OF CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION
(FILED UNDER SECTION 528 OF BNSS)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)

Crl.O.P. (MD) No. 14215 of 2025

-Against-

Crime No. 208 of 2025

(On the file of the 2nd Respondent Police)

1. Suresh (M/46),
S/o.Ramasamy,
2. Harish (M/18/25),
S/o. Ramesh,
3. Aswin @ Mani (M/ 18),
S/o. Suresh,

All are residing at same:

No. 1/134, Anna Nagar,
Nachiyarpuram, Rangasamuthiream,
Andipatti Post,
Theni District.

... Petitioners/ Accused
Rank No.1 to 3

-Vs -

1. The State of Tamilnadu
The District Superintend of Police,
Andipatti,
Theni District.
2. The Inspector of police,
Andipatti police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No. 208 of 2025).
3. N.Gokul Raja,
S/o.Nagarajan,
Annai Sathya Nagar,
Kondamanayakanpatti,
Andipatti Post,
Theni District.

... Respondents/Complainant

... Respondents/ Defacto
Complainants

Harish
Mani

G. S. Raja
N. R.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14215 of 2025

4. Nagarani,
W/o.Nagarajan,
No.1449(5), Kondamanayakanpatti,
Andipatti Post,
Theni District

Respondents/ Victim

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO FILED BY BOTH THE PARTIES

1. The Petitioners and the 3rd Respondent submit that at the instance of the 2nd Respondent the above said was registered for the offence Under Section 191(2), 329(4), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS Act, 2023, r/w 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, and r/w Section 3 (1) r, 3(1) (s), 3(2) (va) of SC ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act 1989. When it was about to be adjudicated, the Petitioners voluntarily came forward to have an amicable settlement for which the 3rd Respondent also agreed, as a result of which the present Compromise Memo for quashing the above said case.
2. The Petitioners and the 3rd Respondent further submit that during the pendency of the proceeding, at the intervention of the elders, both the parties have sat together, in which an amicable conclusion has been arrived at and as one part of a conclusion, the 3rd Respondent has agreed to say no objection for the purpose of quashing the present criminal proceeding.
3. The Petitioners as well as the 3rd Respondent jointly submits that such compromise has been taken place purely with an intention to settle all the issues among them and it is purely voluntary by the parties concerned. Hence, in order to avoid further ordeal of trial before the Trial Court, they are preferred to be present before this Hon'ble Court whenever it is required. This Hon'ble Court by taking into consideration of the above said aspects with regard to the compromise and in the interest of the parties, can leniently look

சுபிரீம்
கார்டர்
மாமு

சுபிரீம்
மாமு



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14215 of 2025

WEB COPY

into the present issue, as such the prayer sought for by the petitioners can be allowed.

It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to record the above compromise arrived between the Petitioners/Accused herein and the De facto Complainant amicably and prays this Hon'ble Court to quash the F.I.R in Crime No.208 of 2025 on the file of the 2nd Respondent Police and quash the same in so far as these petitioners are concerned and pass such further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

Dated at Madurai on this the 26th day of August 2025
B. Arun / S. Pradeep
Counsel for the Petitioners Counsel for the Respondent

Harish
Petitioners

N. Ravi
2nd Respondent /
Defacto Complainant

B. ARUN, ADVOCATE Enroll No. 1221/2015, Government Law College, Madurai. No.79, Law Chamber, High Court Building, Madurai. Cell : 9843504921	S.PRADEEP, ADVOCATE Enroll No. 2990/2019, SRM School of Law, Chennai. No.84, Law Chamber, Madurai High Court, Madurai, Cell : 98941 40255
---	---

4. The petitioners and the defacto complainant are present in person and identified by M/s.V.Sutha, Sub Inspector of Police, Aundipatti Police Station, Theni District. The defacto complainant confirms the averments made in the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14215 of 2025

compromise memo and states that the impugned FIR may be quashed in terms of the compromise.

5. In view of the aforesaid compromise and considering the nature of allegations and the offences alleged, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the impugned FIR pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIR in Crime No.208 of 2025 is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

04.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
Lm

To

1.The District Superintendent of Police,
Andipatti,
Theni District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Andipatti Police Station,
Theni District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

6/7



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14215 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Lm

Crl.O.P(MD).No.14215 of 2025

04.09.2025