



W.P.(MD) No.17738 of 2016

THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 13.02.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S. SRIMATHY

W.P.(MD)No.17738 of 2016

and

W.M.P.(MD)Nos.12773 & 12774 of 2016

Esaki Ammal

... Petitioner

VS

1. The Secretary,
Department of Animal Husbandry and Fisheries (AH.I),
Fort St.George,
Chennai – 9.
 2. The Director of Animal Husbandry and
Veterinary Services,
Chennai – 6.
 3. The Director of Employment and Training,
O/o. The Director of Employment and Training,
Guindy,
Chennai – 32.
 4. The District Employment Officer,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.
 5. S.Jaya
- ... Respondents



W.P(MD) No.17738 of 2016

WEB COPY

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the impugned seniority list of the fourth respondent and the consequential impugned order issued by the second respondent in Na.Ka.No. 48751/2/2012 dated 30.09.2013 and quash the same and consequently direct the fourth respondent to prepare a fresh seniority list for the post of Livestock Inspector Grade-II based on the employment seniority maintained by him.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.R.Sreenivasan

For R-1 to R-4 : Mrs.D.Farjana Ghoushia
Special Government Pleader

For R-5 : No appearance

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed challenging the impugned seniority list of the fourth respondent and the consequential impugned order issued by the second respondent in Na.Ka.No.48751/2/2012 dated 30.09.2013 and consequently direct the fourth respondent to prepare a fresh seniority list for the post of Livestock Inspector Grade-II based on the employment



W.P(MD) No.17738 of 2016

seniority maintained by him.

2. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner and private respondents 5 to 9 were considered for the post of Livestock Inspector training as per G.O.Ms.No.10 dated 27.01.2012. The said post is reserved for BC candidates. It is an admitted fact that the petitioner and the other candidates comes under the BC category. The contention of the petitioner is that the respondent No. 5, though belonging to BC category, were appointed under the General Turn (GT) category. According to the petitioner, the candidates' age should have been below 30 years, which is the age limit for general category candidates. However, the said contention cannot be accepted. Candidates belonging to the BC category are entitled to all the benefits granted to BC category, including age relaxation. Hence, the age limit applicable to BC candidates is 32 years. Even though the candidates were appointed in the GT General category, the age relaxation for BC candidates still applies, provided they are below 32 years of age. Therefore, the said contention of the petitioner is rejected. This Court is



W.P(MD) No.17738 of 2016

not inclined to entertain this petition.

3. Accordingly, this Writ Petition stands dismissed. No costs.
Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

13.02.2025

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
jbr

To

1. The Secretary,
Department of Animal Husbandry and Fisheries (AH.I),
Fort St.George,
Chennai – 9.
2. The Director of Animal Husbandry and
Veterinary Services,
Chennai – 6.
3. The Director of Employment and Training,
O/o. The Director of Employment and Training,

4/6



W.P(MD) No.17738 of 2016

Guindy, Chennai – 32.

4. The District Employment Officer,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

S. SRIMATHY, J.,

jbr

W.P(MD) No.17738 of 2016



WEB COPY



W.P(MD) No.17738 of 2016

13.02.2025