



CRL OP(MD).No.13882 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21.08.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.13882 of 2025

Sountharrajan

... Petitioners/ Accused No.1

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu
rep.by The Inspector of Police,
Ottapidaram Police Station,
Tuticorin district.
(Crime No.189 of 2025)

.. Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Malaikani
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.189 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : This Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused No.1, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.06.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1) and 55 of

BNS Act in Crime No.189 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD).No.13882 of 2025

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 24.06.2025 at about 03.00p.m. the defacto-complainant lodged a complaint before the respondent police alleging that during the Local Body Election, mother of the defacto-complainant and the fourth accused's wife had contested for the Post of Panchayat President, and she was successfully elected. Due to the rivalry arising from the said election, enmity persisted between the families. On 24.06.2025, at about 09.00 a.m due to the said political enmity, the petitioner / first accused intentionally caused the death of the deceased by colluding a lorry into the deceased's Motorcycle bearing registration No. TN 69 BM 7465, due to the impact of the said accident, the deceased died on the spot. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that there are totally four accused persons, the petitioner is the first accused. The alleged incident was a mere road accident, the prosecution given colour of culpable homicide. This fourth accused's wife had been defeated the defacto-complainant's mother. Due to the political enmity arising out of Panchayat Election, a false case has been lodged against the petitioner and other accused persons. The alleged occurrence was took place at about 09.00 a.m., the complaint was given only on 03.00 p.m., which was indicating unexplained and suspicious delay in the registration of FIR against this petitioner. This petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. This petitioner is ready and willing to abide



CRL OP(MD).No.13882 of 2025

any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. He would further submit that the petitioner is in custody from 27.06.2025, nearly 56 days. Hence, he seeks bail.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the defacto-complainant is the daughter of the deceased, the deceased and the accused persons are hailing from the same village and they have previous enmity with regard to the Panchayat Election. Further, the deceased is a land broker and the accused persons are also having motive regarding real estate business, due to which wordy altercation was happened 15 days prior to the occurrence. The accused persons and the deceased have wordy altercation with regard to the administration of temple which was located in the said village. The accused persons developed vengeance and planned to kill the deceased. On 24.06.2025, at about 09.00a.m. when the deceased was coming in a two wheeler, the accused persons hit the deceased by lorry and killed him, due to the impact of the accident, the deceased sustained grievous injury and died on the spot. It is the case of pre-planned murder. A1 is the prime accused, at the instigation of the first accused all other accused joined together and committed the said occurrence. Accused Nos.2 and 3 were enlarged on bail by this Court in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.13618 of 2025 dated 14.08.2025 and the 4th accused was enlarged on bail by this Court in Crl.O.P(MD)No.11776 of 2025 dated 01.08.2025. In this case, investigation has almost completed. There is no previous



CRL OP(MD).No.13882 of 2025

case against this petitioner. However, he objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that Accused Nos.2, 3 and 4 were already enlarged on bail by this Court, and by this time, in this case, investigation has been almost completed, there is no previous case against the petitioner, the petitioner/accused No.1 is in judicial custody from 27.06.2025, taking into consideration of the period of incarceration, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing separate bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, Ottapidaram and on further conditions that :-

[a] the sureties shall affix his photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and contact number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ottapidaram. If the petitioner changes his residential address, he shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ottapidaram;

[c] the petitioner shall Stay at Dindigul and he shall appear and sign before the



CRL OP(MD).No.13882 of 2025

Inspector of Police, Dindigul Taluk Police Station daily twice at 10.30a.m. and 05.30p.m. until further orders.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

sd/-
21/08/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

21/08/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

pnn



CRL OP(MD).No.13882 of 2025

TO
WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Ottapidaram.
2. Do Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Thoothukudi District.
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison,
Palayamkottai.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Ottapidaram Police Station,
Tuticorin District.
5. The Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Taluk Police Station,
Dindigul.
6. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.S.MALAIKANI, Advocate (SR-9026[I] dated 21/08/2025)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.13882 of 2025
Date :21/08/2025

SBN/21.08.2025 6P/8C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023